arXiv:math/0406193v1 [math.QA] 9 Jun 2004

Invariant Differential Operators for Quantum Symmetric Spaces, I

Gail Letzter*
Mathematics Department
Virginia Tech
Blacksburg, VA 24061
letzter@math.vt.edu

February 1, 2008

Abstract

This is the first paper in a series of two which proves a version of a theorem of Harish-Chandra for quantum symmetric spaces in the maximally split case: There is a Harish-Chandra map which induces an isomorphism between the ring of quantum invariant differential operators and the ring of invariants of a certain Laurent polynomial ring under an action of the restricted Weyl group. Here, we establish this result for all quantum symmetric spaces defined using irreducible symmetric pairs not of type EIII, EIV, EVII, or EIX. A quantum version of a related theorem due to Helgason is also obtained: The image of the center under this Harish-Chandra map is the entire invariant ring if and only if the underlying irreducible symmetric pair is not one of these four types.

Introduction

In [HC2, Section 4], Harish-Chandra proved the following fundamental result for semisimple Lie groups: the Harish-Chandra map induces an isomorphism

---

*supported by NSA grant no. MDA904-03-1-0033. AMS subject classification 17B37

between the ring of invariant differential operators on a symmetric space and invariants of an appropriate polynomial ring under the restricted Weyl group. When the symmetric space is simply a complex semisimple Lie group, this result is Harish-Chandra's famous realization of the center of the enveloping algebra of a semisimple Lie algebra as Weyl group invariants of the Cartan subalgebra ([HC1]). Helgason further refined Harish-Chandra's result by analyzing the image of those invariant differential operators which come from the center of the enveloping algebra under the Harish-Chandra homomorphism ([He]). This paper is the first of two papers which establish quantum analogs for these results of Harish-Chandra and Helgason in the recently developed theory of quantum symmetric spaces (see [L3],[L4], and [L5]).

There is an underlying symmetric pair of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ associated to each symmetric space. Here, $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex semisimple Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is the Lie subalgebra fixed by an involution θ. In this algebraic framework, invariant differential operators on the symmetric space correspond to ad $\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ invariant elements of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus quantum invariant differential operators should "be" elements of the quantum analog of the fixed ring of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ under the action of $\mathfrak{g}^\theta$. In fact, a quantum symmetric pair consists of the quantized enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and a coideal subalgebra B which plays the role of $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$. The study of quantum invariant differential operators is an analysis of the ring $\check{U}^B$ of invariants in the (simply connected) quantized enveloping algebra $\check{U}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the right adjoint action of B. (We assume that $\check{U}$ is an algebra over the algebraic closure $\mathcal{C}$ of the rational function field $\mathbf{C}(q)$.)

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ denote the eigenspace for θ with eigenvalue -1 inside a maximally split Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. In the classical case, the Harish-Chandra map associated to $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is the projection from $U(\mathfrak{g})$ onto the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{a}$, defined using the Iwasawa decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$. This picture can be lifted to the quantum setting as follows. The Cartan subalgebra $\check{U}^0$ of $\check{U}$ is the group algebra of a multiplicative group $\check{T}$ isomorphic to the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$. The quantum analog of $\mathfrak{a}$ is the multiplicative subgroup $\check{\mathcal{A}}$ of an extension of $\check{T}$ isomorphic to the image of the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$ under the restriction map from $\mathfrak{h}^*$ to $\mathfrak{a}^*$. Using a quantum version of the Iwasawa decomposition (Theorem 2.2 below), the quantum Harish-Chandra map $\mathcal{P}_B$ is a projection of $\check{U}$ onto $\mathcal{C}[\check{\mathcal{A}}]$.

The restricted root system Σ associated to the pair $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ spans the vector space $\mathfrak{a}^*$. Thus the action of the restricted Weyl group W_Θ on Σ induces an action of W_Θ on $\check{\mathcal{A}}$. This action can be further twisted to a dotted (or translated) action of W_Θ on $\mathcal{C}[\check{\mathcal{A}}]$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the subgroup of $\check{\mathcal{A}}$ corresponding to the weight lattice of the root system 2Σ. The center of $\check{U}$, denoted by $Z(\check{U})$, is a subring of $\check{U}^B$.

Recall that irreducible symmetric pairs $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ are classified in [A], and each pair or family of pairs is given a name which is called its "type". We assume that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is irreducible. The analogous results for arbitrary symmetric pairs of Lie algebras are easily deduced from their decomposition into a direct sum of irreducible ones. The main result of this paper is

Theorem: *(see Theorem 6.1) The image of $Z(\check{U})$ under $\mathcal{P}_B$ is equal to $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta \cdot}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is not of type EIII, EIV, EVII, or EIX. Moreover, in these cases, $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B) = \mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$.*

Perhaps the most intricate part in the proof of the above theorem is showing that $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$ is invariant under the dotted action of W_Θ. Recall that there is no direct quantum version of the Lie group G associated to $\mathfrak{g}$; there is only a quantum analog of the ring of regular functions on G. Thus the analytic techniques used in Harish-Chandra's original work [HC2] are unavailable here. Lepowsky's algebraic proof [Le] using the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ cannot be adapted to the quantum setting because there is no obvious quantum Cartan decomposition. For the cases considered in the theorem, the dotted W_Θ invariance of $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$ ultimately follows from the dotted W_Θ invariance of $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$ and the equality of these two algebras established at the end of the paper.

The first step in the proof of the theorem is to refine the codomain of $\mathcal{P}_B$ upon restriction to $\check{U}^B$. We exploit the fact that $\check{U}^B$ is a subring of the locally finite part $F_r(\check{U})$ of $\check{U}$ in order to show $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$ is contained in $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$. Using a filtration of $\check{U}$ introduced in [L5, Section 5], we further show that the possible highest degree terms of elements in $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$ are linear combinations of elements in $\mathcal{A}$ which correspond to antidominant integral weights in the weight lattice of 2Σ.

It turns out that the restriction of $\mathcal{P}_B$ to $Z(\check{U})$ is just the composition of the ordinary quantum Harish-Chandra map $\mathcal{P}$ followed by restriction of $\mathcal{P}_B$ to $\check{U}^0$. It is well known that $\mathcal{P}(Z(\check{U}))$ consists of the invariants of a particular Laurent polynomial subring of $\check{U}^0$ with respect to the dotted action of the large Weyl group. Thus determining $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$ reduces to computing the image of the invariants of this Laurent polynomial ring inside $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$.

When $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is one of the four types EIII, EIV, EVII, EIX, we show that $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U})) \neq \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta \cdot}$ using specialization at $q = 1$ and the corresponding classical results. Unfortunately, specialization fails to show the other direction of the primary assertion of the theorem. Instead, we use information relating the the weight lattice of the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ to that of Σ and a special basis of $Z(\check{U})$ to determine $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$. The proof turns out to be more delicate for those symmetric pairs related to the irreducible symmetric pair of type AII. In Section 6, we use combinatorial and character formula arguments to handle this special family. The last assertion of the theorem follows from a comparison of the set of highest degree terms of each of the three algebras $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$, $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta \cdot}$, and $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$.

Now suppose that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type EIII, EIV, EVII, or EIX. In the sequel to this paper [L6], we show that $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B) = \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta \cdot}$ in these cases as well. Thus this paper and [L6] establish complete quantum analogs of Harish-Chandra's and Helgason's results on the image of invariant differential operators under the Harish-Chandra map. Moreover, in [L6], we find a particular nice basis for $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$ related to a special family of difference operators for Macdonald polynomials.

There is another function on $\check{U}$, the radial component map $\mathcal{X}$, which is closely related to $\mathcal{P}_B$ and is useful in analyzing $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$. We show that the map which sends $\mathcal{P}_B(u)$ to $\mathcal{X}(u)$ defines an algebra isomorphism of $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$ onto $\mathcal{X}(\check{U}^B)$. This enables us to determine the kernel of $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$. It should be noted that the quotient of $\check{U}^B$ modulo this kernel is the exact quantum analog of the ring of invariant differential operators on the corresponding symmetric space. Furthermore, the main theorem and [L6, Corollary 4.2] ensures that $\mathcal{X}(\check{U}^B)$ is a polynomial ring in rank Σ variables. With the help of $\mathcal{X}$, we interpret elements of $\check{U}^B$ as difference operators acting on the character group ring of 2Σ. It is precisely this interpretation that leads to the identification of quantum zonal spherical functions as Macdonald (or Macdonald-Koornwinder) polynomials (see for example [N], [NS], [L5], [DN], [NDS], and [DS]). The theorem and its extension in [L6] ensure that $\mathcal{X}(\check{U}^B)$ forms a completely integrable system of difference operators whose eigenfunctions are the orthogonal polynomials associated to quantum zonal spherical functions.

We briefly describe the organization of this paper. Section 1 sets notation and recalls basic facts about quantized enveloping algebras, restricted root systems, and quantum symmetric pairs. In Section 2, we review and generalize the construction of the Harish-Chandra map $\mathcal{P}_B$ defined in [L5]. Section 3 is a detailed study of the image of the weight lattice $P(\pi)$ under the map $\tilde{}$ defined by restriction to $\mathfrak{a}$. The definition of the quantum radial component map $\mathcal{X}$ and the filtration on $\check{U}$ as described in [L5] are extended to the general case in Section 4. Connections between $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$ and $\mathcal{X}(\check{U}^B)$ are established, leading to a nice description of the possible highest degree terms of elements in $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$. The main result is proved in Sections 5 and 6. Section 5 handles the four exceptional cases EIII, EIV, EVII, and EIX using specialization and those irreducible symmetric pairs which satisfy $\widetilde{P^+(\pi)} = P^+(\Sigma)$. Section 6 is devoted to the proof of the main theorem for those irreducible symmetric pairs $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ which contain a symmetric pair of type AII.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Nolan Wallach for his wonderful insight and suggestions which led to this project and Dan Farkas for his helpful comments on writing.

1 Background and Notation

Let $\mathbf{C}$ denote the complex numbers, $\mathbf{Q}$ denote the rational numbers, $\mathbf{Z}$ denote the integers, $\mathbf{R}$ denote the real numbers, $\mathbf{N}$ denote the nonnegative integers, and q denote an indeterminate. Let $\{q^r | \ r \in \mathbf{Q}\}$ denote the multiplicative group isomorphic to $\mathbf{Q}$ using the map $q^r \mapsto r$. Write $\mathcal{C}$ for the algebraic closure of the group algebra of $\{q^r | \ r \in \mathbf{Q}\}$ over $\mathbf{C}$. Note that the group algebra of $\{q^r | \ r \in \mathbf{Q}\}$ over $\mathbf{R}$ can be made into an ordered field in a manner similar to the rational function field $\mathbf{R}(q)$ (see [Ja, Section 5.1]). Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the real algebraic closure of the group algebra of $\{q^r | \ r \in \mathbf{Q}\}$ over $\mathbf{R}$.

Suppose that Φ is a root system. Write $Q(\Phi)$ for the root lattice and let $Q^+(\Phi)$ be the subset of $Q(\Phi)$ equal to the $\mathbf{N}$ span of the positive roots in Φ. Let $P(\Phi)$ denote the weight lattice of Φ and let $P^+(\Phi)$ be the subset of $P(\Phi)$ consisting of dominant integral weights. (Sometimes we replace Φ with the symbol used to represent the positive simple roots in the notation for the weight and root lattices and their subsets.)

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex semisimple Lie algebra with triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$. Let θ be a maximally split involution with respect to the fixed Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the above triangular decomposition in the sense of [L3, (7.1), (7.2), and (7.3)]. Write $\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ for the fixed Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to θ. The pair $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is a classical (infinitesimal) symmetric pair. We assume throughout the paper that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is an irreducible symmetric pair in the sense of [A] (see also [L4, Section 7]). The results of this paper extend in a straightforward manner to the general case.

There are two root systems associated to $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$. The first is the ordinary root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ which we denote by Δ. Let $(\ ,\ )$ denote the corresponding Cartan inner product on Δ. Here we assume that the positive roots of Δ correspond to the root vectors in $\mathfrak{n}^+$. Write $\pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ for the positive simple roots of Δ. We use "$\leq$" to denote the usual partial order on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. In particular, given α and β in $\mathfrak{h}^*$, we say that $\alpha \leq \beta$ if and only if $\beta - \alpha \in Q^+(\pi)$.

The second root system is the set of restricted roots Σ defined using the involution θ. More precisely, θ induces an involution Θ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ which restricts to an involution on Δ. Given $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, set $\tilde{\alpha} = (\alpha - \Theta(\alpha))/2$. The restricted root system Σ is the set

$$\Sigma = \{\tilde{\alpha} | \alpha \in \Delta \text{ and } \Theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\}.$$

Moreover, Σ inherits the structure of a root system using the inner product of Δ ([Kn, Chapter VI, Section 4]). Recall [L3 ,Section 7, (7.5)] that there exists a permutation p of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that p induces a diagram automorphism on π and

$$\Theta(-\alpha_i) - \alpha_{\mathrm{p}(i)} \in Q^+(\pi_\Theta) \tag{1.1}$$

for each i. Set $\pi^* = \{\alpha_i \in \pi \setminus \pi^* |\ i \leq \mathrm{p}(i)\}$. The set $\{\tilde{\alpha}_i |\ \alpha_i \in \pi^*\}$ is the set of positive simple roots for the root system Σ.

Let $U = U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the quantized enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. The algebra U is a Hopf algebra over $\mathcal{C}$ with generators $x_i, y_i, t_i^{\pm 1}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, subject to the relations and Hopf structure given in [L3, Section 1, (1.4)-(1.10)] or [Jo, 3.2.9]. Let T denote the group generated by t_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$, let U^+ denote the subalgebra of U generated by $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, and let G^- denote the subalgebra of U generated by $y_i t_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Let U^0 denote the group algebra generated by T.

Let τ denote the group isomorphism from the additive group $Q(\pi)$ to the multiplicative group T defined by $\tau(\alpha_i) = t_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Given a vector subspace S of U, the β weight space of S, denoted by S_β, is the set all elements s in S which satisfy

$$\tau(\gamma) s \tau(-\gamma) = q^{(\gamma,\beta)} s \tag{1.2}$$

for all $\tau(\gamma) \in T$.

Sometimes it will be necessary to consider larger algebras than U which are obtained using extensions of the group T. In particular, suppose that M is a multiplicative monoid isomorphic to an additive submonoid of $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n} \mathbf{Q}\alpha_i$ via the obvious extension of τ. Then the algebra UM is just the algebra generated by U and M subject to the additional relation (1.2) for each $\tau(\gamma) \in M$ and $s \in U_\beta$. It is straightforward to check that when M is a group, the Hopf algebra structure of U extends to UM. Recall that the augmentation ideal of a Hopf algebra is just the kernel of the counit. If UM is a Hopf algebgra and A is a subalgebra, then we write A_+ to denote the intersection of a A with the augmentation ideal of AM.

The most common extension of the type described in the previous paragraph is the simply connecting quantized enveloping algebra, denoted by $\check{U}$ ([Jo, Section 3.2.10]). Here, the multiplicative monoid M is the group $\check{T}$ which is the extension of T isomorphic to the weight lattice $P(\pi)$ via τ. Let $\check{U}^0$ denote the group algebra generated by $\check{T}$.

A quantum analog of $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ inside of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is a maximal left coideal subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ which specializes to $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ as q goes to 1. Quantum analogs of $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ inside of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ are classified in [L3, Section 7]; a full description of the generators and relations for all quantum analogs of $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ associated to each irreducible symmetric pair $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ can be found in [L4, Section 7]. We briefly describe here the construction of these coideal subalgebras. Set $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_i | \Theta(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i\}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the Hopf subalgebra of U generated by $x_i, y_i, t_i^{\pm 1}$ for $\alpha_i \in \pi_\Theta$. Let T_Θ be the subgroup of T defined by $T_\Theta = \{\tau(\mu) |\ \mu \in Q(\pi) \text{ and } \Theta(\mu) = \mu\}$. The involution θ of $\mathfrak{g}$ lifts to a $\mathbf{C}$ algebra isomorphism $\tilde{\theta}$ of U ([L3, Theorem 7.1]). Set

$$B_i = y_i t_i + \tilde{\theta}(y_i) t_i$$

for all $\alpha_i \in \pi \setminus \pi_\Theta$. The standard quantum analog of $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ inside of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the algebra B_θ generated by $\mathcal{M}, T_\Theta$, and B_i for $\alpha_i \in \pi \setminus \pi_\Theta$.

For most irreducible symmetric pairs, any quantum analog of $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ inside of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to B_θ via a Hopf algebra automorphism of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. However, under certain circumstances, $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ admits a one parameter family of analogs. Define two subsets $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ of π^* by

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\alpha_i \in \pi^* |\ \Theta(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i, \text{ and if } \Theta(\alpha_j) = -\alpha_j \text{ then } \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)} \in \mathbf{Z}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\alpha_i \in \pi^* |\ i \neq \mathrm{p}(i), \text{ and } (\alpha_i, \Theta(\alpha_i)) \neq 0\}.$$

Since we are assuming that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is irreducible, it follows that $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D}$ has at most one element ([L4, Section 2 and Section 7]). Suppose first that $\mathcal{S}$ is not empty. Given $s \in \mathcal{C}$, let $B_{\theta,s,1}$ be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\mathcal{M}$, T_Θ, and B_i for $\alpha_i \in \pi \setminus (\pi_\Theta \cup \mathcal{S})$ and $B_{j,s,1}$ for $\alpha_j \in \mathcal{S}$ where

$$B_{j,s,1} = y_j t_j + \tilde{\theta}(y_j) t_j + s t_j.$$

Note that when $s = 0$, the algebra $B_{\theta,0,1}$ is just the standard quantum analog B_θ. Now consider the case when $\mathcal{D}$ is nonempty. Then given $d \in \mathcal{C}$ with $d \neq 0$, let $B_{\theta,0,d}$ be the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\mathcal{M}$, T_Θ, B_i for $\alpha_i \in \pi \setminus (\pi_\Theta \cup \mathcal{D})$ and $B_{j,0,d}$ for $\alpha_j \in \mathcal{D}$ where

$$B_{j,0,d} = y_j t_j + d\tilde{\theta}(y_j) t_j.$$

In the special case when $d = 1$, we have that $B_{\theta,0,1}$ is just the standard analog B_θ. Given $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}$, $B_{i,s,1}$ is shortened to B_i when s can be read from context. A similar convention is applied to $B_{i,0,d}$ for $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{D}$. Set $s_i = s$ for $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}$ and $s_i = 0$ for all $\alpha_i \notin \mathcal{S}$. Similarly, set $d_i = d$ for $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{D}$ and set $d_i = 1$ for all $\alpha_i \notin \mathcal{D}$. In order to make arguments in the general case, it will often be convenient to write each B_i as

$$B_i = y_i t_i + d_i \tilde{\theta}(y_i) + s_i t_i.$$

Let $U_{\mathcal{R}}$ be the $\mathcal{R}$ subalgebra of U generated by $x_i, y_i, t_i^{\pm 1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. A subalgebra B of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is called real if $B = (B \cap U_{\mathcal{R}}) \oplus i(B \cap U_{\mathcal{R}})$. Note that the standard analog B_θ is real since it is generated by elements of $U_{\mathcal{R}}$. More generally, when $\mathcal{S}$ is nonempty, $B_{\theta,s,1}$ is real provided $s \in \mathcal{R}$. Similarly, when $\mathcal{D}$ is nonempty, $B_{\theta,0,d}$ is real provided $d \in \mathcal{R}$.

We define a family $\mathcal{B}$ of coideal subalgebras of U associated to $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ as follows. Let $\mathbf{H}$ denote the set of Hopf algebra automorphisms of U which fix elements of T. Note that $\mathbf{H}$ acts on the set of coideal subalgebras of U. If $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{D}$ is empty, set $\mathcal{B}$ equal to the orbit of B_θ under $\mathbf{H}$. If $\mathcal{S}$ is nonempty, set $\mathcal{B}$ equal to the union of the orbits of the set $\{B_{\theta,s,1} | s \in \mathcal{R}\}$ under $\mathbf{H}$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is nonempty, set $\mathcal{B}$ equal to the union of the orbits of the set $\{B_{\theta,0,d} | d \in \mathcal{R}$ and $d \neq 0\}$ under $\mathbf{H}$. By [L3, Theorem 7.5], any real quantum analog of $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ inside U is isomorphic via a Hopf algebra automorphism in $\mathbf{H}$ to some element of $\mathcal{B}$. It should be noted, however, that not every element in $\mathcal{B}$ is a quantum analog of $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ inside U since not all the subalgebras in $\mathcal{B}$ specialize to $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ as q goes to 1. For example, if $\mathcal{S}$ is nonempty, then $B_{\theta,s,1}$ specializes to $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ if and only if s specializes to 0. Despite this fact, we will refer to the set $\mathcal{B}$ as the orbit under $\mathbf{H}$ of the set of real quantum analogs of $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ inside of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let $F_r(\check{U})$ denote the locally finite part of $\check{U}$ with respect to the right adjoint action ad_r. It follows from [L4, Theorem 3.1], that for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists a conjugate linear algebra antiautomorphism of U which restricts to an antiautomorpism of B. Thus we have the following version of [L3, Theorem 7.6] and [L2, Theorem 3.5].

Theorem 1.1 *Given $B \in \mathcal{B}$, the action of B on $F_r(\check{U})$ is semisimple. Moreover, if V is a finite dimensional* $(\mathrm{ad}_r\ B)$ *submodule of $\check{U}$, then V is a subset of $F_r(\check{U})$.*

For each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, let $\check{U}^B$ denote the set of B invariants of $\check{U}$ with respect to the right adjoint action. By [L5, Lemma 3.5], $\check{U}^B$ is just the centralizer in $\check{U}$ of B. It follows that the center $Z(\check{U})$ of $\check{U}$ is contained in $\check{U}^B$. Since $\check{U}^B$ is just a direct sum of one-dimensional simple B modules, we see from the above theorem that $\check{U}^B$ is a submodule of $F_r(\check{U})$.

For each $\lambda \in P(\pi) \cup P(\Sigma)$, let z^λ be the algebra homomorphism from $\check{U}^0$ to $\mathcal{C}$ defined by $z^\lambda(\tau(\gamma)) = q^{(\lambda,\gamma)}$ for all $\gamma \in P(\pi)$. Given $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(\check{U}^0, \mathcal{C})$, let $L(\Lambda)$ denote the simple U module with highest weight Λ. If $\Lambda = z^\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in P(\pi)$, then we write $L(\Lambda)$ as $L(\lambda)$.

For any multiplicative group G, we write $\mathcal{C}[G]$ for the group algebra generated by G over $\mathcal{C}$. This notation will be applied to groups as well as multiplicative monoids related to $\check{T}$. Now suppose that H is an additive group such as $P(\pi)$ or $Q(\Sigma)$. Let $< z^\lambda |\ \lambda \in H >$ denote the multiplicative group isomorphic to H via the map which sends z^λ to λ. We write $\mathcal{C}[H]$ for the group algebra of the multiplicative group $< z^\lambda |\ \lambda \in H >$.

An appendix with the definition of symbols introduced after this section can be found at the end of the paper. For undefined notions or more information about notation, the reader is referred to [Jo] or [L5].

2 The Harish-Chandra Map

In this section, we construct a quantum Harish-Chandra map associated to the symmetric pair $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$. The procedure involves four tensor product decompositions of the quantized enveloping algebra with respect to a subalgebra $B \in \mathcal{B}$. The results establishing these decompositions parallels the material presented in [L5, Section 2]. However, the theorems in [L5, Section 2] are only proved for the standard analogs of $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ which have a reduced restricted root system Σ. In particular, we first generalize [L5, Lemma 2.1] and [L5, Theorem 2.2] so that it applies to all coideal subalgebras in $\mathcal{B}$ associated to any irreducible symmetric pair $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$. The quantum Harish-Chandra map associated to an algebra $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is then defined using these decompositions. The end of the section focuses on the restriction of this map to the $(\mathrm{ad}_r\ B)$ invariants of $\check{U}$. The tensor product decompositions of this section are also used in Section 4 to generalize the notion of radial components defined in [L5, Section 3].

We introduce some notation from [L5, Section 2]. Set $\mathcal{M}^+ = \mathcal{M} \cap U^+$ and $\mathcal{M}^- = \mathcal{M} \cap G^-$. Write ad for the left adjoint action of U on itself. Let N^+ be the subalgebra of U^+ generated by the $(\mathrm{ad}\ \mathcal{M}^+)$ module $(\mathrm{ad}\ \mathcal{M}^+)\mathcal{C}[x_i|\alpha_i \notin \pi_\Theta]$. Similarly, let N^- be the subalgebra of G^- generated by the $(\mathrm{ad}\ \mathcal{M}^-)$ module $(\mathrm{ad}\ \mathcal{M}^-)\mathcal{C}[y_it_i|\alpha_i \notin \pi_\Theta]$. Given $\beta \in Q(\pi)$ and a subspace S of U, we write $S_{\beta,r}$ for the sum of the weight subspaces $S_{\beta'}$ of S with $\tilde{\beta}' = \tilde{\beta}$. Set $T'_\geq$ equal to the multiplicative monoid generated by the t_i^2 for $\alpha_i \in \pi^* \setminus \mathcal{S}$ and t_i for $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}$. Note that [L4, Lemma 3.5] implies that $T'_\geq$ is just equal to the set $\{\tau(\gamma)|\ \gamma \in Q^+(\pi) \text{ and } \tilde{\gamma} \in P(2\Sigma)\}$. We have the following generalizations of [L5, Lemma 2.1] and [L5, Theorem 2.2].

Lemma 2.1 *For each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, all $\beta, \gamma \in Q^+(\pi)$, and $Y \in U^+_\gamma G^-_{-\beta}$, we have*

(i) $Y \in N^+_{\beta+\gamma,r}B + \sum_{\tilde{\beta}' < \tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\gamma}} N^+_{\beta',r}T'_\geq B$

(ii) $Y \in BN^-_{-\beta-\gamma,r} + \sum_{\tilde{\beta}' < \tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\gamma}} BT'_\geq N^-_{-\beta',r}$.

Proof: Fix $B \in \mathcal{B}$. We consider here the proof of the first assertion; the second assertion follows with a similar argument. As in [L5], we may reduce to the case where Y is a monomial of the form $y_{i_1}t_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_m}t_{i_m}$ such that $y_{i_m}t_{i_m}$ is not in B. Set β equal to the weight of Y. The proof in [L5] proceeds by induction on m. In particular, we assume that all monomials in the y_it_i of length less than or equal to $m-1$ satisfy (i). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} Y = & y_{i_1}t_{i_1}\cdots y_{i_{m-1}}t_{i_{m-1}}B_{i_m} - d_{i_m}y_{i_1}t_{i_1}\cdots y_{i_{m-1}}t_{i_{m-1}}\tilde{\theta}(y_{i_m})t_{i_m} \\ & - s_{i_m}y_{i_1}t_{i_1}\cdots y_{i_{m-1}}t_{i_{m-1}}t_{i_m}. \end{aligned}$$

By the proof of [L5, Lemma 2.1], both

$$y_{i_1}t_{i_1}\cdots y_{i_{m-1}}t_{i_{m-1}}B_{i_m} \text{ and } y_{i_1}t_{i_1}\cdots y_{i_{m-1}}t_{i_{m-1}}\tilde{\theta}(y_{i_m})t_{i_m}$$

are contained in the right hand side of (i).

Now suppose that $s_{i_m} \neq 0$. It follows that $\alpha_{i_m} \in \mathcal{S}$ and thus $t_{i_m} \in T'_{\geq}$. Hence

$$s_{i_m}y_{i_1}t_{i_1}\cdots y_{i_{m-1}}t_{i_{m-1}}t_{i_m} \in \mathcal{C}[T'_{\geq}]y_{i_1}t_{i_1}\cdots y_{i_{m-1}}t_{i_{m-1}}.$$

Note that $N^+_{\beta',r}T'_{\geq} = T'_{\geq}N^+_{\beta',r}$ and $N^-_{\beta',r}t = tN^-_{\beta',r}$ for each β' and for each $t \in T'_{\geq}$. The lemma now follows by applying the inductive hypothesis to $y_{i_1}t_{i_1}\cdots y_{i_{m-1}}t_{i_{m-1}}$. $\square$

Let T' be the subgroup of T generated by t_i for $\alpha_i \in \pi^*$. The next result provides four tensor product decompositions of U. This generalizes [L5, Theorem 2.2] which is proved for the subset of standard analogs in $\mathcal{B}$. Though the proof here is quite similar to the argument in [L5], one of the antiautomorphisms used in [L5] does not work in this more general setting.

Theorem 2.2 *For all $B \in \mathcal{B}$, there are vector space isomorphisms via the multiplication map*

(i) $N^+ \otimes \mathcal{C}[T'] \otimes B \cong U$

(ii) $B \otimes \mathcal{C}[T'] \otimes N^+ \cong U$

(iii) $N^- \otimes \mathcal{C}[T'] \otimes B \cong U$

(iv) $B \otimes \mathcal{C}[T'] \otimes N^- \cong U$

Proof: Fix $B \in \mathcal{B}$. By [L3, Section 6], the multiplication map induces an isomorphism

$$U^+ \cong \mathcal{M}^+ \otimes N^+. \tag{2.1}$$

Switching the order of $\mathcal{M}^+$ and N^+, the same argument shows that multiplication induces an isomorphism

$$U^+ \cong N^+ \otimes \mathcal{M}^+. \tag{2.2}$$

The triangular decomposition of U ([L5, (2.6)]) and (2.1) imply that multiplication induces an isomorphism (see [L5, (2.8)])

$$U \cong G^- \otimes \mathcal{M}^+ \otimes \mathcal{C}[T_\Theta] \otimes \mathcal{C}[T'] \otimes N^+.$$

A similar argument using (2.2) yields that multiplication also induces an isomorphism

$$U \cong N^+ \otimes \mathcal{C}[T'] \otimes \mathcal{C}[T_\Theta] \otimes \mathcal{M}^+ \otimes G^-.$$

As explained in [L5] (see [L5,(2.9)] and subsequent discussion), the lowest weight term of an element $b \in B$ written as a sum of weight vectors is in $G^-\mathcal{M}^+T_\Theta$. By [L3, Section 6 and (6.2)] and [Ke], we have $G^-\mathcal{M}^+T_\Theta = N^-\mathcal{M}T_\Theta = \mathcal{M}T_\Theta N^- = \mathcal{M}^+T_\Theta G^-$. Hence $Bv \cap Bv' = 0$ and $vB \cap v'B = 0$ for any two linearly independent elements v and v' of $T'N^+$. Therefore the multiplicaton map induces injections from $B \otimes \mathcal{C}[T'] \otimes N^+$ and $N^+ \otimes \mathcal{C}[T'] \otimes B$ into U.

Recall that there is a antiautomorphism κ of U such that $\kappa(N^-) = N^+$, $\kappa(B) = B$, and $\kappa(U^+) = G^-$ (see [L4, Theorem 3.1] and the proof of [L5, Theorem 2.2]). Applying κ to the above yields injections from $B \otimes \mathcal{C}[T'] \otimes N^-$ and $N^- \otimes \mathcal{C}[T'] \otimes B$ into U.

By Lemma 2.1(i), $U^+G^- \subseteq N^+T'B$. Since $T = T' \times T_\Theta$, it follows that $U = U^+G^-T \subseteq N^+T'B$. Hence $U = N^+T'B$ and isomorphism (i) now follows. Assertion (iii) follows similarly from Lemma 2.1(ii). Isomorphism (ii) now follows from (iii) and (iv) from (i) using the antiautomorphism κ as in the proof of [L5, Theorem 2.2]. □

We introduce two important groups related to $\check{T}$ that will be used throughout the paper. Note that the group $\check{T}$ can be extended to a larger group isomorphic to the additive group $\{\mu/2 |\ \mu \in P(\pi)\}$ via the obvious extension of τ. Let $\check{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\check{T}_\Theta$ be the subgroups of this extension defined by $\check{\mathcal{A}} = \{\tau(\tilde{\mu}) | \mu \in P(\pi)\}$ and $\check{T}_\Theta = \{\tau(1/2(\mu + \Theta(\mu)) |\ \mu \in P(\pi)\}$. Consider for the moment $a \in \check{U}^B$. It follow that a is a sum of weight vectors each of whose weight β satisfies $\Theta(\beta) = -\beta$. Thus the invariant ring $\check{U}^B$ is equal to $\check{U}^{B\check{T}_\Theta}$.

We are now ready to define the quantum Harish-Chandra projection map with respect to a subalgebra B in $\mathcal{B}$. Note that $\tau(\mu) = \tau(\tilde{\mu})\tau(1/2(\mu+\Theta(\mu)) \in \check{\mathcal{A}}\check{T}_\Theta$ for all $\mu \in P(\pi)$. Hence we have the inclusion:

$$\check{U}^0 \subset \mathcal{C}[\check{\mathcal{A}}] \oplus \check{U}^0\mathcal{C}[\check{T}_\Theta]_+. \tag{2.3}$$

Theorem 2.2 and (2.3) imply the following inclusion for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\check{U} \subseteq ((B\check{T}_\Theta)_+\check{U} + N_+^+\check{\mathcal{A}}) \oplus \mathcal{C}[\check{A}]. \tag{2.4}$$

Definition 2.3 *The (quantum) Harish-Chandra map with respect to the symmetric pair $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ and subalgebra B in $\mathcal{B}$ is the projection $\mathcal{P}_B$ of $\check{U}$ onto $\mathcal{C}[\check{\mathcal{A}}]$ using the direct sum decomposition (2.4).*

It should be noted that the map $\mathcal{P}_B$ is the same as the map $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$ defined for standard analogs of $U(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ where Σ is a reduced root system in [L5, immediately following (3.11)]. Note further that $\mathcal{P}_B$ is a linear map for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$. However, $\mathcal{P}_B$ is not an algebra homomorphism on $\check{U}$. The next result shows that $\mathcal{P}_B$ is an algebra homomorphism upon restriction to $\check{U}^B$.

Theorem 2.4 *For all $B \in \mathcal{B}$ the restriction of $\mathcal{P}_B$ to $\check{U}^B$ is an algebra homomorphism.*

Proof: Suppose that a and a' in $\check{U}^B$. We have

$$aa' \in a((B\check{T}_\Theta)_+\check{U} + N_+^+\check{\mathcal{A}}) + a\mathcal{P}_B(a').$$

Since $a \in \check{U}^B$, it follows that $a(B\check{T}_\Theta)_+\check{U} \subseteq (B\check{T}_\Theta)_+\check{U}$. Using (2.4) we have

$$aN_+^+\check{\mathcal{A}} \subset \check{U}N_+^+\check{\mathcal{A}} = (B\check{T}_\Theta)_+\check{U} + N^+\check{\mathcal{A}})N_+^+\check{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq ((B\check{T}_\Theta)_+\check{U} + N_+^+\check{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} aa' &\in (B\check{T}_\Theta)_+\check{U} + (aN_+^+\check{\mathcal{A}}) + a\mathcal{P}_B(a') \\ &\subseteq (B\check{T}_\Theta)_+\check{U} + N_+^+\check{\mathcal{A}}) + \mathcal{P}_B(a)\mathcal{P}_B(a'). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{P}_B(aa') = \mathcal{P}_B(a)\mathcal{P}_B(a')$. The theorem now follows from the fact that $\mathcal{P}_B$ is a linear map. □

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the subgroup of $\check{\mathcal{A}}$ consisting of those elements $\tau(2\mu)$ with $\mu \in P(\Sigma)$. (Note that this definition of $\mathcal{A}$ differs from the definition of $\mathcal{A}$ in [L5].) Let G^+ denote the subalgebra of U generated by $x_it_i^{-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and let U^- denote the subalgebra of U generated by y_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Lemma 2.5 *Suppose that* $u \in \check{U}^B$. *Then*

$$u \in U^+G^-\mathcal{A} + U^+G^-\mathcal{C}[\check{T}_\Theta]_+.$$

Proof: Recall (Theorem 1.1) that $\check{U}^B$ is a subset of $F_r(\check{U})$. Moreover, $F_r(\check{U})$ is a direct sum of $\mathrm{ad}_r\ U$ submodules of the form $(\mathrm{ad}_r\ U)\tau(2\gamma)$ with $\gamma \in P^+(2\pi)$ (see [Jo, Section 7] and [L5, discussion preceding Lemma 7.2]). Thus it is sufficient to prove the lemma for $u \in \check{U}^B \cap (\mathrm{ad}_r\ U)\tau(2\gamma)$ where $\gamma \in P^+(\pi)$. As explained in [L5, discussion preceding Lemma 7.2], we have that

$$(\mathrm{ad}_r\ U)\tau(2\gamma) \subset \sum_{\mu \in Q^+(\pi)} G^+U^-\tau(2\gamma - 2\mu). \tag{2.5}$$

Now $(\mathrm{ad}_r\ U)\tau(2\gamma)$ can be written as a direct sum of simple $(\mathrm{ad}_r\ U)$ modules. In particular, u is a sum of $(\mathrm{ad}_r\ B)$ invariant vectors contained in simple $(\mathrm{ad}_r\ U)$ submodules of $(\mathrm{ad}_r\ U)\tau(2\gamma)$. It follows from [L4, Theorem 3.6] that $u = \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in P(\Sigma)} w_{2\tilde{\lambda}}$ for some weight vectors $w_{2\tilde{\lambda}}$ in $(\mathrm{ad}_r\ U)\tau(2\gamma)$ of weight $2\tilde{\lambda}$. Using (2.5), we can write

$$w_{2\tilde{\lambda}} \in \sum_{\mu \in Q^+(\pi)} \sum_{\alpha - \beta = 2\tilde{\lambda}} G^+_\alpha U^-_{-\beta}\tau(2\gamma - 2\mu)$$

for each $\tilde{\lambda} \in P(\Sigma)$. Now consider α and β in $Q^+(\pi)$ such that $\alpha - \beta = 2\tilde{\lambda}$. Note that $G^+_\alpha = U^+_\alpha\tau(-\alpha)$ and $U^-_\beta = G^-\tau(-\beta)$. Hence $G^+_\alpha U^-_\beta = U^+G^-\tau(-2\alpha)\tau(\alpha - \beta)$. Since $\alpha - \beta = 2\tilde{\lambda}$, it follows that $\tau(\alpha - \beta) \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore,

$$u \in \sum_{\eta \in Q(\pi)} U^+G^-\tau(2\eta)\mathcal{A}.$$

Now $\tilde{\eta} \in Q(\Sigma)$ and hence $\tau(2\tilde{\eta}) \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $\eta \in Q(\pi)$. The lemma now follows from the decomposition in (2.3). □

Recall the definition of $T'_\geq$ given before Lemma 2.1. By [L4, Lemma 3.5], $\tilde{\alpha}_i/2 \in P(\Sigma)$ whenever $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}$. It follows that $\tau(\tilde{\mu}) \in \mathcal{A}$ whenever $\tau(\mu) \in T'_\geq$. Hence by (2.3) and the discussion preceding it, we have that $\mathcal{P}_B(T'_\geq) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. This fact combined with the previous lemma allows us to refine the codomain of $\mathcal{P}_B$.

Theorem 2.6 *For all* $B \in \mathcal{B}$, *the image of* $\check{U}^B$ *under* $\mathcal{P}_B$ *is contained in* $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$.

Proof: Let $u \in \check{U}^B$. By Lemma 2.5, there exists $u' \in U^+G^-\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{P}_B(u) = \mathcal{P}_B(u')$. Note that $U^+G^-\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}U^+G^-$. It follows from Lemma 2.1(i) and the above discussion that $\mathcal{P}_B(u') \in \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$. $\square$

3 A comparison of two root systems

Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and consider for the moment the restriction of $\mathcal{P}_B$ to $\check{U}^0$. The image of an element $\tau(\mu)$ under $\mathcal{P}_B$ is just $\tau(\tilde{\mu})$. Thus there is a close connection between the quantum Harish-Chandra map $\mathcal{P}_B$ and the map $\tilde{}$ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. This section is an analysis of the latter map. The image of the fundamental weights associated to π under the restriction map $\tilde{}$ are completely determined here. As a consequence, we establish necessary and sufficient conditions for $\widetilde{P^+(\pi)}$ to equal $P^+(\Sigma)$. This result is used to understand the image of the center $Z(\check{U})$ under $\mathcal{P}_B$ in Sections 5 and 6.

For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, set ω_i equal to the fundamental weight corresponding to the simple root α_i. Recall that the restricted root system is either an ordinary reduced root system as classified in [H, Chapter III] or is nonreduced of type BC_r for some integer $r \geq 1$ ([Kn, Chapter II, Section 5]). In the latter case, there is a unique simple root $\alpha_j \in \pi^*$ such that both $\tilde{\alpha}_j$ and $2\tilde{\alpha}_j$ are restricted roots. Let ω_i' denote the fundamental weight corresponding to the simple root $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ with respect to the restricted root system. In particular, if $2\tilde{\alpha}_i$ is not a restricted root then $(\omega_i', \tilde{\alpha}_i) = (\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i)/2$ and if $2\tilde{\alpha}_i$ is a restricted root then $(\omega_i', 2\tilde{\alpha}_i) = (2\tilde{\alpha}_i, 2\tilde{\alpha}_i)/2$.

We can break up the set $\{\tilde{\alpha}_i | \ \alpha_i \in \pi^*\}$ into three cases. In each case, we give the value of $(\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i)$.

Case 1: $\Theta(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$. Then $(\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i) = (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$.

Case 2: $\Theta(\alpha_i) \neq -\alpha_i$, and $(\alpha_i, \Theta(\alpha_i)) = 0$. Then $\tilde{\alpha}_i = (\alpha_i - \Theta(\alpha_i))/2$ and $(\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i) = (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2$.

Case 3: $\Theta(\alpha_i) \neq -\alpha_i$, and $(\alpha_i, \Theta(\alpha_i)) \neq 0$. In this case, $\alpha_i + \Theta(-\alpha_i)$ is a root. Note that $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \widetilde{\Theta(-\alpha_i)}$. Hence, both $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ and $2\tilde{\alpha}_i$ are roots in Σ. In particular, as an abstract root system, Σ must be of type BC_r for some r. The only way this can happen is for $(\alpha_i, \Theta(\alpha_i)) = -(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2$. It follows that $(\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i) = (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/4$.

Lemma 3.1 *Supppose $\alpha_i \in \pi^*$. If $i = \mathrm{p}(i)$ and $\alpha_i \neq \Theta(-\alpha_i)$ then $\tilde{\omega}_i = 2\omega_i'$. If $i \neq \mathrm{p}(i)$ or if $\alpha_i = \Theta(-\alpha_i)$ then $\tilde{\omega}_i = \omega_i'$.*

Proof: Fix $\alpha_i \in \pi^*$. Note that $(\tilde{\omega}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_j) = (\omega_i, \tilde{\alpha}_j) = 0$ whenever $\tilde{\alpha}_j \neq \tilde{\alpha}_i$. It follows that $\tilde{\omega}_i$ is a scalar multiple of ω_i'. Now

$$(\tilde{\omega}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i) = (\omega_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i) = (1 + \delta_{i\mathrm{p}(i)})(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/4.$$

Note that we can break up both Case 2 and Case 3 into two subcases depending on whether $i = \mathrm{p}(i)$ or $i \neq \mathrm{p}(i)$. The lemma follows from a straightforward computation using the three cases above and the corresponding subcases. $\square$

For each i such that $\alpha_i \in \pi^*$, set $\pi_i = \{\alpha_j | \; (\omega_j, \tilde{\alpha}_i) \neq 0\}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_i$ be the semisimple Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by the positive and negative root vectors corresponding to roots in π_i. Note that θ restricts to an involution of $\mathfrak{g}_i$ and that $\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i^\theta$ is a rank one symmetric pair. In particular, the restricted root system Σ_i associated to $\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i^\theta$ has rank one. Moreover $\Sigma_i = \{\pm\tilde{\alpha}_i\}$ if $2\tilde{\alpha}_i$ is not a restricted root and $\Sigma_i = \{\pm\tilde{\alpha}_i, \pm 2\tilde{\alpha}_i\}$ otherwise. Let β_i denote the longest positive root in Σ_i. Thus, if Σ_i is reduced then $\beta_i = \tilde{\alpha}_i$ and if Σ_i is not reduced then $\beta_i = 2\tilde{\alpha}_i$.

Lemma 3.2 *For each $\alpha_i \in \pi^*$, there exists $\lambda_i \in P(\pi)$ such that $(\lambda_i, \beta_j) = (\beta_j, \beta_j)/2$.*

Proof: The proof of this lemma is a straightforward computation. The list below of possibilities for the rank one symmetric pair $\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i^\theta$ can be deduced from Araki's classification ([A], see also [L5, Section 4]) of irreducible symmetric pairs. For cases (3.3) through (3.7), we relabel the set π_i as $\{\alpha_{i1}, \ldots, \alpha_{ir}\}$ using the second subscript to indicate the numbering of the simple roots for a particular root system as given in [H]. Given $\alpha_{ij} \in \pi_i$, we write ω_{ij} for the fundamental weight in $P(\pi)$ corresponding to the simple root α_{ij} considered as an element in the larger set π. For each i with $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in \pi_\Theta$, we provide at least one choice (which might not be the only choice) of λ_i in $P(\pi)$ satisfying the desired condition.

$\mathfrak{g}_i$ is of type A_1 with $\pi_i = \{\alpha_i\}$, $\Theta(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$, and $\lambda_i = \omega_i$ (3.1)

$\mathfrak{g}_i$ is of type $A_1 \times A_1$ with $\pi_i = \{\alpha_i, \alpha_{\mathrm{p}(i)}\}$, $\Theta(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_{\mathrm{p}(i)}$, and $\lambda_i = \omega_i$. (3.2)

$\mathfrak{g}_i$ is of type A_3 with $\pi_i = \{\alpha_{i1}, \alpha_{i2}, \alpha_{i3}\}$, $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i2}$, $\Theta(\alpha_{i2}) = -\alpha_{i1} - \alpha_{i3} - \alpha_{i2}$, and $\lambda_i = \omega_{i1}$ or $\lambda_i = \omega_{i3}$. (3.3)

$\mathfrak{g}_i$ is of type A_r with $r \geq 2$, $\pi_i = \{\alpha_{i1}, \ldots, \alpha_{ir}\}$, $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i1}$, (3.4)
$\Theta(\alpha_{ij}) = -\alpha_{i,j'} - \alpha_{i2} - \ldots - \alpha_{i,r-1}$ where $\{j, j'\} = \{1, r\}$,
and $\lambda_i = \omega_{i1}$.
$\mathfrak{g}_i$ is of type B_r with $\pi_i = \{\alpha_{i1}, \ldots, \alpha_{ir}\}$, $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i1}$, (3.5)
$\Theta(\alpha_{i1}) = -\alpha_{i1} - 2\alpha_{i2} - \cdots - 2\alpha_{ir}$, and $\lambda_i = \omega_{ir}$.
$\mathfrak{g}_i$ is of type D_r with $\pi_i = \{\alpha_{i1}, \ldots, \alpha_{ir}\}$, $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i1}$, (3.6)
$\Theta(\alpha_{i1}) = -\alpha_{i1} - 2\alpha_{i2} - \cdots - 2\alpha_{i,r-2} - \alpha_{i,r-1} - \alpha_{i,r}$,
and $\lambda_i = \omega_{ir}$.
$\mathfrak{g}_i$ is of type C_r with $\pi_i = \{\alpha_{i1}, \ldots, \alpha_{ir}\}$, $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i2}$, (3.7)
$\Theta(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_{i1} - \alpha_{i2} - 2\alpha_{i3} \ldots - 2\alpha_{i,r-1} - \alpha_{ir}$, and $\lambda_i = \omega_{ir}$.
$\mathfrak{g}_i$ is of type $F4$ with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_i$, $\alpha_i = \alpha_4$, (3.8)
$\Theta(\alpha_4) = -\alpha_4 - 3\alpha_3 - 2\alpha_2 - \alpha_1$ and $\lambda_i = \omega_4$.□

Let W denote the Weyl group associated to the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let W_Θ denote the Weyl group associated to the restricted root system Σ. It is well known that the restricted Weyl group W_Θ is a homomorphic image of the subgroup of W which leaves $Q(\Sigma)$ invariant. (See [He, p. 791].) In particular, given $\tilde{w}$ in W_Θ, there exists w in W such that $\tilde{w}$ and w act the same on $Q(\Sigma)$.

In the next two lemmas, we examine the relationship between the integral weights associated to π and those associated to Σ.

Lemma 3.3 *The set* $\widetilde{P(\pi)}$ *is a subset of* $P(\Sigma)$.

Proof: We need to show that each $\beta \in P(\pi)$ satisfies $(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\alpha}) \in \mathbf{Z}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha})/2$ for all $\tilde{\alpha} \in \Sigma$. Now suppose $\tilde{\alpha} \in \Sigma$. We can find $\tilde{w} \in W_\Theta$ such that $\tilde{w}\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}_i$ or $\tilde{w}\tilde{\alpha} = 2\tilde{\alpha}_i$ for some $\alpha_i \in \pi^*$. (The latter case can occur only if Σ is of type BC$_r$ for some r and $\tilde{\alpha}/2$ is also a root.) By the discussion preceding the lemma, there exists $w \in W$ such that $w = \tilde{w}$ upon restriction to $Q(\Sigma)$. Since $P(\pi)$ is invariant under the action of W, we may assume that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is either $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ or $2\tilde{\alpha}_i$ for some $\alpha_i \in \pi^*$.

By (1.1), we can write

$$\tilde{\alpha}_i = (\alpha_i + \alpha_{\mathrm{p}(i)} + \sum_{\alpha_j \in \pi_\Theta} r_j \alpha_j)/2$$

for some nonnegative integers r_j. Let $\omega = \sum_j m_j\omega_j$ be an element of $P(\pi)$. Note that $(\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\beta}) = (\gamma, \tilde{\beta})$ for any weights γ and β. It follows that

$$(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\alpha}_i) = (m_i r_i(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) + m_{\mathrm{p}(i)} r_{\mathrm{p}(i)}(\alpha_{\mathrm{p}(i)}, \alpha_{\mathrm{p}(i)}) + \sum_{\alpha_j \in \pi_\Theta} m_j r_j(\alpha_j, \alpha_j))/4.$$

Note that if α_i satisfies the conditions of Case 1, then $r_j = 0$ for all $\alpha_j \in \pi_\Theta$ and $\alpha_i = \alpha_{\mathrm{p}(i)}$. Hence $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\alpha}_i) = m_i r_i(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2 \in \mathbf{Z}(\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i)/2$. Now assume α_i satisfies the conditions of Case 2 or Case 3. From the list of rank one symmetric pairs given above, one checks that $\mathfrak{g}_i$ is not of type G2. Hence for $\alpha_j \in \pi_\Theta$, $(\alpha_j, \alpha_j) = s(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$ where $s = 1, 2$, or $1/2$. Moreover, this last possibility occurs if and only if $\mathfrak{g}_i$ is of type B_r as in (3.4) and m_j equals 2. Hence $\sum_{\alpha_j \in \pi_\Theta} m_j r_j(\alpha_j, \alpha_j) \in \mathbf{Z}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$. Since p induces a diagram automorphism on π, we have that $(\alpha_{\mathrm{p}(i)}, \alpha_{\mathrm{p}(i)}) = (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$. Thus

$$(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\alpha}_i) \in \mathbf{Z}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/4. \tag{3.9}$$

It follows that $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\alpha}_i) \in \mathbf{Z}(\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i)/2$ for all α_i which satisfies the conditions of Case 2. Now assume that α_i satisfies the conditions of Case 3. In this case, $(\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i) = (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/4$. Therefore (3.9) implies that $(\tilde{\omega}, 2\tilde{\alpha}_i) \in \mathbf{Z}(2\tilde{\alpha}_i, 2\tilde{\alpha}_i)/2$.□

An immediate consequence of Lemma 3.3 is that $\widetilde{P^+(\pi)}$ is a subset of $P^+(\Sigma)$. The next result will be used to determine which irreducible symmetric pairs satisfy $\widetilde{P^+(\pi)} = P^+(\Sigma)$.

Lemma 3.4 *Suppose that each $\alpha_i \in \pi^*$ satisfies one of the following conditions.*

(i) $\Theta(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$

(ii) $i \neq \mathrm{p}(i)$

(iii) $\Theta(\alpha_j) = -\alpha_{\mathrm{p}(j)}$ *for all* $j \neq i$

Then $\widetilde{P^+(\pi)} = P^+(\Sigma)$.

Proof: If α_i satisfies (i) or (ii) then Lemma 3.1 implies that $\tilde{\omega}_i = \omega_i'$. Suppose α_i satisfies the conditions of (iii). Then $\pi_\Theta \cap \{\alpha_k | \ (\omega_k, \tilde{\alpha}_j) \neq 0\}$ is the empty set for $j \neq i$. Hence $(\omega_k, \tilde{\alpha}_j) = 0$ for $\alpha_k \in \pi_\Theta$ and $j \neq i$. Let $\lambda_i \in P^+(\pi)$ be chosen as in Lemma 3.3. It follows that $\tilde{\lambda}_i = \omega_i'$. □

In Theorem 3.6, we find necessary and sufficient conditions for $\widetilde{P^+(\pi)}$ to equal $P^+(\Sigma)$. First, we take a look at a family of symmetric pairs for which this equality fails. Consider first the special case when the symmetric pair $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type AII. It follows that $\pi_\Theta = \{\alpha_{2i+1} | \; 0 \leq i \leq t\}$ and the simple restricted roots are

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{2i} = (\alpha_{2i-1} + 2\alpha_{2i} + \alpha_{2i+1})/2 \tag{3.10}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Now assume that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is of classical type, $\mathfrak{g}$ contains a θ invariant Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{r}$ such that $\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}^\theta$ is of type AII, and the rank of the restricted root system associated to $\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}^\theta$ is $t-1$. It follows that the first $t-1$ simple restricted roots are given by the formula in (3.10). We list below the possibilities for $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ under these assumptions. The formula of the last simple restricted root is given and the corresponding rank one symmetric pair is identified for the last four types.

(3.11) $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type AII and Σ is of type A_t.

(3.12) $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type CII(i), Σ is of type B_t, $\tilde{\alpha}_{2t} = (\alpha_{2t-1} + 2\alpha_{2t} + 2\alpha_{2t+1} + \cdots + 2\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n)/2$, and the rank one symmetric pair corresponding to $\tilde{\alpha}_{2t}$ is given by (3.7).

(3.13) $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type CII(ii), Σ is of type C_t, $\tilde{\alpha}_n = \alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1}$ and and the rank one symmetric pair corresponding to $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ is given by (3.5).

(3.14) $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type DIII(i), Σ is of type C_t, $\tilde{\alpha}_n = \alpha_n$, and the rank one symmetric pair corresponding to $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ is given by (3.1).

(3.15) $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type DIII(ii), Σ is of type B_t, $\tilde{\alpha}_n = (\alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1})/2$, and the rank one symmetric pair corresponding to $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ is given by (3.2).

The next lemma describes the images of the fundamental weights inside $P(\pi)$ under $\tilde{}$. We use the following notation just for the symmetric pairs listed in (3.11)-(3.15) to make the proofs concerning these pairs easier to read. Write $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t$ for the set of positive simple roots in Σ. Thus, $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t\} = \{\tilde{\alpha}_i | \; \alpha_i \in \pi^*\}$. Similarly, for $1 \leq i \leq t$, set η_i equal to the fundamental weight in $P(\Sigma)$ corresponding to the simple root μ_i. We further set $\eta_0 = 0$ and $\eta_{t+1} = 0$.

Lemma 3.5 *Assume that* $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ *satisfies the conditions of one of (3.11)-(3.15). Set* $t' = t$ *if* $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ *is of type AII and if* $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ *is of type CII(ii), and set* $t' = t - 1$ *otherwise. Then for all* $1 \leq i \leq t'$ *and* $0 \leq j \leq t' - 1$ *we have*

(i) $\tilde{\omega}_{2i} = 2\eta_i$

(ii) $\tilde{\omega}_{2j+1} = \eta_j + \eta_{j+1}$

(iii) If $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ *is not of type CII(ii) then* $\tilde{\omega}_n = \eta_t$*.*

Proof: The first assertion follows from Lemma 3.1 while the second assertion is a straightforward computation using the description of the simple restricted roots in (3.10)-(3.16). The last assertion follows from Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2, and the rank one information in the list (3.10)-(3.16).□

Assume again that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type AII with $t \geq 7$. It follows from the previous lemma that $\eta_i \notin \widetilde{P^+(\pi)}$ for $1 < i < t$. On the other hand, if $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is one of the cases listed in (3.12)-(3.16) and $t \geq 7$, then $\eta_2 \notin \widetilde{P^+(\pi)}$. Now suppose that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type CII(ii) and $t \geq 4$. Then one checks that $\tilde{\omega}_n = 2\eta_t$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.5(ii), $\tilde{\omega}_{n-1} = \eta_{t-1} + \eta_t$. Thus $\eta_t \notin \widetilde{P(\pi)}$. This information is used in the next theorem which determines when $\widetilde{P^+(\pi)}$ equals $P^+(\Sigma)$.

Theorem 3.6 $\widetilde{P^+(\pi)} = P^+(\Sigma)$ *if and only if* $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ *is not of type EIII, EIV, EVII, EIX, or CII(ii), and* $\mathfrak{g}$ *does not contain a* θ *invariant Lie subalgebra* $\mathfrak{r}$ *of rank greater than or equal to* 7 *such that* $\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}^\theta$ *is of type AII.*

Proof: First assume that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is not of type EIII, EIV, EVII, EIX, or CII(ii), and $\mathfrak{g}$ does not contain a θ invariant Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{r}$ of rank greater than or equal to 7 such that $\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}^\theta$ is of type AII. If $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is not of type EVI, it is straightforward to check that each simple root of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.4. On the other hand, if $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type EVI, then $\tilde{\omega}_1 = \omega'_3$, $\tilde{\omega}_2 = \omega'_3$, $\tilde{\omega}_5 = \omega'_5$ and $\tilde{\omega}_6 = \omega'_6$. Hence $\widetilde{P^+(\pi)} = P^+(\Sigma)$ in this case as well.

Now suppose that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type EIV, EVII, or EIX. A straightforward argument shows that $\omega'_1 \notin \tilde{\pi}$. Hence $\widetilde{P^+(\pi)}$ is a proper subset of $P^+(\Sigma)$ in these cases. Consider the case when $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type EIII. Then $\Theta(\alpha_2) = -\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_4 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5$ and $(\Theta(\alpha_2), \alpha_2) = 0$. Hence by Lemma 3.1, $\tilde{\omega}_2 = 2\omega'_2$.

On the other hand, $(\omega_j, \tilde{\alpha}_1) \neq 0$ for $j \neq 2$. Thus $\omega'_2 \notin \{\tilde{\omega}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\omega}_n\}$. It follows that $\widetilde{P^+(\pi)}$ is not equal to $P^+(\Sigma)$ in this case as well.

The remaining cases follow from the discussion preceding the theorem. □

Suppose that $P^+(\Sigma) = \widetilde{P^+(\pi)}$. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that $P(\Sigma) = \widetilde{P(\pi)}$. It turns out that this second equality holds for all symmetric pairs.

Theorem 3.7 *The set* $\widetilde{P(\pi)}$ *equals* $P(\Sigma)$.

Proof: By Lemma 3.3, we only need to show that $P(\Sigma)$ is a subset of $\widetilde{P(\pi)}$. Suppose that $\widetilde{P^+(\pi)} = P^+(\Sigma)$. Then the lemma follows from the fact that $\Sigma \subseteq \widetilde{\Delta}$. Hence, by Theorem 3.6, we may assume that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ falls into one of the following two cases:

(i) $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is one of the four exceptional symmetric pairs EIII, EIV, EVII, and EIX

(ii) $\mathfrak{g}$ is classical and $\mathfrak{g}$ contains a θ invariant Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{r}$ such that $\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}^\theta$ is of type AII and the rank of Σ greater than or equal to 4.

Assume $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ satisfies the conditions of Case (ii). By Lemma 3.5, $\widetilde{P(\pi)}$ contains η_1 and $\eta_i + \eta_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t-2$. Using an inductive argument, we may assume that $\eta_j \in \widetilde{P(\pi)}$ where j is an integer between 1 and $t-3$. Then $\eta_{j+1} = (\eta_{j+1}+\eta_j) - \eta_j$ and so $\eta_{j+1} \in \widetilde{P(\pi)}$. Thus $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{t-1}$ are all contained in $\widetilde{P(\pi)}$. Now if $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type AII or CII(ii), then by Lemma 3.5, $\eta_{t-1} + \eta_t$ is also in $\widetilde{P(\pi)}$. Thus since $\eta_{t-1} \in \widetilde{P(\pi)}$, so is η_t. On the other hand if Σ is not of type AII or CII(ii), then by Lemma 3.5(iii), $\eta_t \in \widetilde{P(\pi)}$. This completes the proof in Case (ii).

Consider the cases when $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type EIV, EVII, or EIX. A straightforward computation shows that $\omega'_1 = \tilde{\omega}_5 - \tilde{\omega}_6$ and $\omega'_6 = \tilde{\omega}_3 - \tilde{\omega}_1$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.1, we have $\tilde{\omega}_i = \omega'_i$ for $i > 7$. Thus $\widetilde{P(\pi)}$ contains all the fundamental restricted roots which imply the lemma for these cases. Now assume $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type EIII. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\tilde{\omega}_1 = \omega'_1$. One further checks that $\omega'_2 = \tilde{\omega}_3 - \tilde{\omega}_1$ which completes the proof of the theorem. □

4 Quantum Radial Components

Throughout this section, we assume that B be a fixed coideal subalgebra in $\mathcal{B}$. In this section, we study the map $\mathcal{X}$ on the algebra $\check{U}^B$ which computes the quantum radial components. The map $\mathcal{X}$ is defined in [L5, Section 3] for standard analogs associated to symmetric pairs with reduced root systems. After extending the definition to the general case, we explore connections between $\mathcal{X}$ and the Harish-Chandra projection map $\mathcal{P}_B$. In particular, we show that $\mathcal{X}(\check{U}^B)$ and $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$ are isomorphic as algebras. As in [L5, Theorem 3.4], one has that the quantum radial components are invariant under the action of the restricted Weyl group W_Θ. Using this fact, we determine the possible top degree terms of elements in $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$ with respect to a certain filtration of $\check{U}$. This is a crucial step towards finding the image of $\check{U}^B$ under the quantum Harish-Chandra map $\mathcal{P}_B$.

Before defining the function $\mathcal{X}$, we review some notions from [L5, Section 3] which are necessary to define the codomain of this function. Both group rings $\mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)]$ and $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$ embed in the right endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}_r\mathcal{C}[P(\Sigma)]$ of $\mathcal{C}[P(\Sigma)]$ as follows. The ring $\mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)]$ acts on $\mathcal{C}[P(\Sigma)]$ by right multiplication. The action of $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$ on $\mathcal{C}[P(\Sigma)]$ is given by

$$z^\lambda * \tau(\mu) = q^{(\lambda,\mu)} z^\lambda$$

for all $\lambda \in P(\Sigma)$ and $\tau(\mu) \in \mathcal{A}$. Denote the subring of $\mathrm{End}_r\mathcal{C}[P(\Sigma)]$ generated by $\mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)]$ and $\mathcal{A}$ by $\mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)]\mathcal{A}$. One can localize by the nonzero elements of $\mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)]$ to form a new ring $\mathcal{C}(Q(\Sigma))\mathcal{A}$ generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and the quotient field $\mathcal{C}(Q(\Sigma))$ of $\mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)]$.

Now $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$ is a left $\mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)]\mathcal{A}$ module where elements of $\mathcal{A}$ act via left multiplication and

$$z^\lambda \cdot \tau(\mu) = q^{(\lambda,\mu)} \tau(\mu)$$

for all $\lambda \in Q(\Sigma)$ and $\tau(\mu) \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that $g \cdot \tau(\mu)$ is just a scalar multiple of $\tau(\mu)$ for $\tau(\mu) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)]$. Given an element $f \in \mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)]\mathcal{A}$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)]$, set

$$(fg^{-1}) \cdot \tau(\mu) = (f \cdot \tau(\mu))((g \cdot \tau(\mu))\tau(\mu)^{-1})^{-1}$$

for all $\tau(\mu) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $(g \cdot \tau(\mu))$ is nonzero.

The restricted Weyl group W_Θ acts on $\mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)]\mathcal{A}$ where $w\tau(\beta) = \tau(w\beta)$ and $w(z^\mu) = z^{w\mu}$ for all $w \in W_\Theta$, $\tau(\beta) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mu \in Q(\Sigma)$. This action of W_Θ on $\mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)]\mathcal{A}$ extends to an action of W_Θ on $\mathcal{C}(Q(\Sigma))\mathcal{A}$.

Recall that B is a fixed coideal subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}$. Assume that B' is another subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}$. Given $\lambda \in P^+(2\Sigma)$, let g_λ denote the zonal spherical function at λ. In particular, g_λ is a basis vector for the one dimensional space of right B and left B' invariants in $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda)^*$. (For more information about zonal spherical functions, see [L4] and [L5].) Since elements of $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda)^*$ can be viewed as functions on U, restriction to $\check{U}^0$ defines a map from $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda)^*$ to $\mathcal{C}[P(\pi)]$. Write φ_λ for the image of g_λ in $\mathcal{C}[P(\pi)]$ under this restriction map. By [L4, Theorem 4.2], φ_λ is an element of $\mathcal{C}[P(2\Sigma)]$. We further assume that B' has been chosen so that φ_λ is W_Θ invariant ([L4, Theorem 5.3]). The observant reader might notice that B' is not mentioned again in the results and discussion below. In addition to being used to specify the zonal spherical functions g_λ, B' is also used to define the map $\mathcal{X}$ ([L5, Section 3]). So officially, both g_λ and $\mathcal{X}$ depend on the choice of B' once B is fixed. However, the information we get in terms of the Harish-Chandra map $\mathcal{P}_B$ does not depend at all on B'.

The following theorem is a generalization of [L5, Theorem 3.6].

Theorem 4.1 *There is an algebra homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{X} : \check{U}^B \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}(Q(\Sigma))\mathcal{A})^{W_\Theta}$$

such that

$$\varphi_\lambda * \mathcal{X}(c) = z^\lambda(\mathcal{P}_B(c))\varphi_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad g_\lambda(c\tau(\beta)) = (\varphi_\lambda * \mathcal{X}(c))(\tau(\beta))$$

for all $c \in \check{U}^B$, $\lambda \in P^+(2\Sigma)$, and $\tau(\beta) \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof: The results and constructions of [L5, Section 3] generalize to all coideal subalgebras in $\mathcal{B}$ using Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 of this paper instead of [L5, Lemma 2.1] and [L5, Theorem 2.2]. Thus the existence of a map

$$\mathcal{X} : \check{U}^B \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}(Q(\Sigma))\check{\mathcal{A}})^{W_\Theta}$$

which satisfies the conclusions of the theorem follows from the same arguments as in the proof of [L5, Theorem 3.6]. Lemma 2.5 and the last assertion of [L5, Theorem 3.2] ensure that the image of $\check{U}^B$ under $\mathcal{X}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{C}(Q(\Sigma))\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

In [L5, Section 5], a filtration $\mathcal{F}$ on U is introduced so that $B \cong \mathrm{gr}_\mathcal{F} B$ whenever B is a standard analog and the restricted root system is reduced

([L5, Lemma 5.1]). The filtration is defined using a degree function defined by

(4.1) $\deg x_i = \deg y_it_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$

(4.2) $\deg t_i^{-1} = 1$ for all $\alpha_i \in \pi \setminus \pi_\Theta$

(4.3) $\deg t = 0$ for all $t \in T_\Theta$.

This filtration can be further extended to $\check{U}$ as follows. Given $\mu \in P(\pi)$ such that $\tilde{\mu} = \sum_i m_i\tilde{\alpha}_i$, we set $\deg(\tau(\mu)) = \sum_i m_i\tilde{\alpha}_i$. As explained in the proof of [L5, Lemma 5.1], $\deg \tilde{\theta}(y_i)t_i = 0$ for all $\alpha_i \in \pi \setminus \pi_\Theta$. Since $\deg t_i = -1$ for $\alpha_i \in \pi \setminus \pi_\Theta$, it follows that $\deg B_i = \deg(y_it_i + d_i\tilde{\theta}(y_i)t_i + s_it_i = 0$ for all $\alpha_i \in \pi \setminus \pi_\Theta$. The arguments of [L5, Lemma 5.1] can thus be used to show that $B \cong \mathrm{gr}_\mathcal{F}B$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$. The rest of [L5, Section 5] extends to the nonreduced restricted root system and nonstandard analog cases verbatim. The proof of [L5, Theorem 5.8 and Corollary 6.8] shows that there exists a formal power series p in the $z^{-\tilde{\mu}}$, $\tilde{\mu} \in Q^+(\Sigma)$, such that

$$\mathrm{gr}_\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}(u)) = p^{-1}\mathrm{gr}_\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}_B(u))p \tag{4.4}$$

for all $u \in \check{U}^B$. Here we are viewing the ring $\mathcal{C}(Q(\Sigma))\mathcal{A}$ as embedded in the larger ring generated by formal Laurent series in the $z^{-\tilde{\eta}}$, $\tilde{\eta} \in Q(\Sigma)$, and elements of $\mathcal{A}$ (see [L5, Section 3, discussion preceding (3.9)]).

It should be noted that an explicit formula for p is provided by [L5, Lemma 6.6] for standard quantum analogs with reduced restricted root systems. However, this formula is not needed in the proof of the first assertion of [L5, Corollary 6.8] which is just (4.4) above. Indeed, with the exception of [L5, Lemma 6.6], the results of Section 6 also carry over verbatim to all irreducible symmetric pairs and analogs in $\mathcal{B}$. The results of [L5] and Theorem 4.1 yield the following isomorphism of rings.

Corollary 4.2 *The map* $\mathcal{X}(u) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_B(u)$ *defines an algebra isomorphism from* $\mathcal{X}(\check{U}^B)$ *onto* $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$*. Moreover, the kernel of both* $\mathcal{X}$ *and* $\mathcal{P}_B$ *upon restriction to* $\check{U}^B$ *is equal to* $\check{U}^B \cap (B\check{T}_\Theta)_+\check{U}$*.*

Proof: Suppose that $u \in \check{U}^B$. Theorem 4.1 ensures that $\mathcal{P}_B(u) = 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{X}(u) = 0$. This proves the first assertion. The second assertion follows from [L5, Corollary 6.8] and the comments at the end of [L5, Section 6]. $\Box$

Note that the filtration $\mathcal{F}$ restricts to a filtration on $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$. Moreover, the degree function which defines $\mathcal{F}$ actually gives $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$ the structure of a graded algebra. Given $X \in \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$, let $\mathrm{tip}(X)$ be the element of $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$ homogeneous of degree $\deg(X)$ such that $X - \mathrm{tip}(X)$ has degree strictly less than $\deg(X)$. We can further extend $\mathcal{F}$ to a filtration on $\mathcal{C}(Q(\Sigma))\mathcal{A}$ by insisting that nonzero elements of $\mathcal{C}(Q(\Sigma))$ have degree 0. Moreover, the definition of tip can be extended to elements of $\mathcal{C}(Q(\Sigma))\mathcal{A}$.

The next lemma uses the close connection between $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{P}_B$ to gain further information about the image of $\check{U}^B$ under $\mathcal{P}_B$.

Lemma 4.3 *The set* $\{\mathrm{tip}(X) | X \in \mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)\}$ *is a subset of the* $\mathcal{C}$ *span of* $\{\tau(-2\eta) | \eta \in P^+(\Sigma)\}$.

Proof: Let $u \in \mathcal{P}_B$. By Theorem 4.1, we can write

$$\mathrm{tip}(\mathcal{X}(u)) = \sum_{\beta \in S(u)} \tau(2\beta) f_\beta$$

for some finite subset $S(u)$ of $P(\Sigma)$ and nonzero elements $f_\beta \in \mathcal{C}(Q(\Sigma))$. Theorem 4.1 ensures that $\mathcal{X}(u)$ is invariant under the action of W_Θ. Now each W_Θ orbit in $P(2\Sigma)$ contains an antidominant weight. Moreover, this antidominant weight is smaller than every other element in its W_Θ orbit with respect to the standard partial order on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Applying τ yields the corresponding result for W_Θ orbits in $\mathcal{A}$. It follows that $-\beta \in P^+(\Sigma)$ for each $\beta \in S(u)$. The lemma now follows from the expression for $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{X}(u))$ given in (4.4). $\square$

5 The ordinary Harish-Chandra map

In this section, we begin the study of the center of $\check{U}$ under the map $\mathcal{P}_B$, for $B \in \mathcal{B}$. The main tool here is the the ordinary quantum Harish-Chandra map, $\mathcal{P}$. We see below that it is easy to compute $\mathcal{P}_B(z)$ once $\mathcal{P}(z)$ is known. Thus we analyze $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$ by exploiting detailed information concerning the image of a basis of central elements under the ordinary Harish-Chandra map $\mathcal{P}$. This information is used to show that the image of the center $Z(\check{U})$ under the map $\mathcal{P}_B$ is invariant under a dotted action of the restricted Weyl group W_Θ. As we will see in Section 6, this is enough to show that $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$ is W_Θ.

invariant for almost all symmetric pairs $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$. The material in this section and the fact that $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$ is "large" inside of $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$ is used to extend this invariance result to the remaining cases in [L6].

We recall here the definition of the ordinary quantum Harish-Chandra projection. Using the triangular decomposition of $\check{U}$, $\check{U}$ admits the following direct sum decomposition.

$$\check{U} = \check{U}^0 \oplus (G_+^- \check{U} + \check{U} U_+^+). \tag{5.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the projection of $\check{U}$ onto $\check{U}^0$ using this decomposition. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Note that $\mathcal{P}_B \circ \mathcal{P}$ maps $\check{U}$ onto $\mathcal{C}[\check{\mathcal{A}}]$. In general, $\mathcal{P}_B \circ \mathcal{P}$ does not agree with $\mathcal{P}_B$. However, the discussion preceding [L5, Theorem 3.6] shows that the restriction of $\mathcal{P}_B$ to $Z(\check{U})$ is the same function as the restriction of $\mathcal{P}_B \circ \mathcal{P}$ to $Z(\check{U})$. Now $\mathcal{P}_B \circ \mathcal{P}$ is the same as the composition of $\mathcal{P}$ followed by the projection of $\check{U}^0$ onto $\mathcal{C}[\check{\mathcal{A}}]$ using (2.3). In particular, the restriction of $\mathcal{P}_B$ to $Z(\check{U})$ is *independent* of the choice of $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Moreover, $z^\lambda(\mathcal{P}(z)) = z^\lambda(\mathcal{P}_B(z))$ for all $z \in Z(\check{U})$ and $\lambda \in P^+(2\Sigma)$.

A description of a nice basis for the center of $Z(\check{U})$ and the image of this basis under $\mathcal{P}$ is given in [Jo, Chapter 7] and [JL]. It should be noted that these references use the locally finite part of $\check{U}$ with respect to the left adjoint action. Since we are viewing the center as a subalgebra of the $(\mathrm{ad}_r\ B)$ invariants of $\check{U}$, it is necessary to translate these results to the setting of the right adjoint action. In particular, for each $\mu \in P^+(\pi)$ there exists a unique central element $z_{2\mu}$ in $\tau(2\mu) + (\mathrm{ad}_r\ U_+)\tau(2\mu)$. Moreover, the set $\{z_{2\mu} | \mu \in P^+(\pi)\}$ forms a basis for $Z(\check{U})$. Let ρ denote the half sum of the positive roots in Δ. Given $\lambda \in P^+(\pi)$, set

$$\hat{\tau}(\lambda) = \sum_{w \in W} \tau(w\lambda) q^{(\rho, w\lambda)}. \tag{5.2}$$

The next lemma, which describes the image of each of these basis elements under $\mathcal{P}$, is a version of [Jo, Lemma 7.1.19] with respect to the right adjoint action.

Lemma 5.1 *For all $\mu \in P^+(\pi)$,*

$$\mathcal{P}(z_{2\mu}) = a_{2\mu}^{-1} \sum_{\nu \in P^+(\pi)} \hat{\tau}(2\nu) \dim L(\mu)_\nu$$

where

$$a_{2\mu} = \sum_{\nu\in P^+(\pi)} (\sum_{w\in W} q^{(\rho,2w\nu)}) \dim L(\mu)_\nu.$$

Proof: Let ι be the $\mathbf{C}$ algebra involutive antiautomorphism of $\check{U}$ defined by $\iota(x_i) = y_i$ and $\iota(y_i) = x_i$ for all $1 \le i \le n$, $\iota(q) = q^{-1}$, and $\iota(t) = t^{-1}$ for all $t \in \check{U}$. It is straightforward to show that

$$\iota((\mathrm{ad}\ a)b) = -(\mathrm{ad}_r\ \iota(a))\iota(b) \tag{5.3}$$

for all $a \in \check{U}$ and $b \in \{x_i, y_i |\ 1 \le i \le n\} \cup \check{T}$. Hence (5.3) holds for all $a \in \check{U}$ and $b \in U$. It follows that

$$\iota((\mathrm{ad}\ U_+)\tau(-2\mu)) = (\mathrm{ad}_r\ U_+)\tau(2\mu)$$

for all $\mu \in P^+(\pi)$. Thus $\iota(z_{2\mu})$ is the unique central element contained in $\tau(-2\mu) + (\mathrm{ad}\ U_+)\tau(-2\mu)$. Since ι preserves both $\check{U}^0$ and $G_+^-\check{U} + \check{U}U_+^+$, it follows that ι commutes with the map $\mathcal{P}$. In particular $\mathcal{P}(z_{2\mu}) = \iota(\mathcal{P}(\iota(z_{2\mu})))$. Thus by [Jo, Lemma 7.1.19], we have

$$\mathcal{P}(z_{2\mu}) = a_{2\mu}^{-1} \sum_{\nu\in P^+(\pi)} \hat{\tau}(2\nu) \dim L(\mu)_\nu$$

where $a_{2\mu}$ is a nonzero scalar.

Recall the information about zonal spherical functions discussed right before Theorem 4.1. The spherical function at 0 is just the basis vector g_0 for the one-dimensional module $L(0) \otimes L(0)^*$. Rescaling if necessary, we may further assume that $g_0(1) = 1$. Since $\check{U}_+$ annihilates $L(0)$, we have $g_0(\check{U}_+) = 0$. Now $\tau(2\mu) \in 1 + \check{U}_+$ and $(\mathrm{ad}_r\ U_+)\tau(2\mu) \in \check{U}_+$. It follows that $g_0(z_{2\mu}) = g_0(1)$. On the other hand, $z^0(\mathcal{P}(z_{2\mu}))g_0(1) = z^0(\mathcal{P}_B(z_{2\mu})) = g_0(z_{2\mu})$. Hence $z^0(\mathcal{P}(z_{2\mu})) = 1$ and so

$$a_{2\mu} = z^0(\sum_{\nu\in P^+(\pi)} \hat{\tau}(2\nu) \dim L(\mu)_\nu).\Box$$

Fix $\mu \in P^+(\pi)$. Let w_0 denote the longest element of W. Set $a = a_{-2w_0\mu}$ where $a_{-2w_0\mu}$ is the scalar defined in the previous lemma for the central element $z_{-2w_0\mu}$. Using Lemma 5.1, we can write $a\mathcal{P}(z_{-2w_0\mu})$ as a sum

$$b_{-\mu}\tau(-2\mu) + \sum_{\gamma>-\mu} b_\gamma \tau(2\gamma)$$

where the b_γ are scalars. Since $\dim L(-w_0\mu)_{-\mu} = 1$, it follows that $b_{-\mu}$ is just a power of q times the order of the stabilizer of $-\mu$ in W. More generally, $b_\gamma \in \mathbf{N}[q, q^{-1}]$ for each γ. Now $\mathcal{P}_B(z_{-2w_0\mu}) = \mathcal{P}_B \circ \mathcal{P}(z_{-2w_0\mu})$. Hence

$$a\mathcal{P}_B(z_{-2w_0\mu}) \in (\sum_{\tilde{\gamma}=\widetilde{\mu}} b_{-\gamma})\tau(-2\tilde{\mu}) + \sum_{\tilde{\gamma}>-\tilde{\mu}} \mathcal{C}\tau(2\tilde{\gamma}).$$

It follows that $\sum_{\tilde{\gamma}=-\tilde{\mu}} b_{-\gamma}$ is nonzero. Thus $\mathrm{tip}(\mathcal{P}_B(z_{-2w_0\mu})$ is a nonzero multiple of $\tau(-2\tilde{\mu})$. Hence

$$\mathrm{span}\{\tau(-2\tilde{\mu})|\ \mu \in P^+(\pi)\} \subseteq \{\mathrm{tip}(\mathcal{P}_B(z))|\ z \in Z(\check{U})\}. \tag{5.4}$$

The next lemma provides a sufficient, but not necessary, condition for $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$ to equal $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$.

Lemma 5.2 *If* $\widetilde{P^+(\pi)} = P^+(\Sigma)$ *then* $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U})) = \mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$.

Proof: By Lemma 4.3, the set $\{\mathrm{tip}(\mathcal{P}_B(u))|u \in \check{U}^B\}$ is a subset of the span of the set $\{\tau(-2\tilde{\mu})|\tilde{\mu} \in P^+(\Sigma)\}$. The lemma now follow from (5.4). $\square$

Recall that there is a dotted action of W on $\check{U}^0$ defined by

$$w.\tau(\mu)q^{(\rho,\mu)} = \tau(w\mu)q^{(\rho,w\mu)}$$

for all $\tau(\mu)$ in $\check{T}$ and $w \in W$. We use the same formula and notation to define a dotted action of W_Θ on $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$ where now we assume that $\tau(\mu) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $w \in W_\Theta$. By [Jo, 7.1.17 and 7.1.25], the image of $Z(\check{U})$ under the ordinary Harish-Chandra map $\mathcal{P}$ is invariant under the dotted action of W.

Let W' be the Weyl group generated by the reflections associated to the simple roots in π_Θ.

Lemma 5.3 *Suppose that* $f \in Z(\check{U})$. *Then* $P_B(f)$ *is invariant under the dotted action of the restricted Weyl group* W_Θ.

Proof: Suppose that $\tilde{w} \in W_\Theta$. Let w be an element of W such that $\tilde{w}$ and w agree on $Q(\Sigma)$ (see the discussion preceding Lemma 3.3). In particular, we can view w as an automorphism of $Q(\Sigma)$. Note that $\sum_{\tilde{\alpha}\in\Sigma} \mathbf{Q}\tilde{\alpha}$ is orthogonal to $\sum_{\alpha\in\pi} \mathbf{Q}(\alpha+\Theta(\alpha))$ with respect to the Cartan inner product. It follows that w restricts to an automorphism of $\sum_{\alpha\in\pi} \mathbf{Q}(\alpha+\Theta(\alpha))$. Note that the intersection of $\sum_{\alpha\in\pi}\mathbf{Q}(\alpha+\Theta(\alpha))$ with Δ is just the root system corresponding to π_Θ. In particular, w restricts to an automorphism of the root system generated by π_Θ. It follows that there exists $w'\in W'$ such that ww' restricts to a diagram automorphism of π_Θ. Now $(\alpha_i,\mu)=0$ for all $\alpha_i\in\pi_\Theta$ and $\mu\in P(\Sigma)$. Thus elements of W' restrict to the identity on $P(\Sigma)$. Hence we may replace w by ww'. In particular, we may assume that w acts the same as $\tilde{w}$ on $Q(\Sigma)$ and w restricts to a diagram automorphism of π_Θ.

Now suppose $\mu\in P(2\pi)$. Note that $\mu-\tilde{\mu}=(\mu+\Theta(\mu))/2$. Note further that $(\rho,\alpha)=(\rho,w\alpha)$ for all $\alpha\in\pi_\Theta$ since w is a diagram automorphism on π_Θ. Hence $(\rho,\mu-\tilde{\mu})=0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} w.q^{(\rho,\tilde{\mu})}\tau(\mu) &= q^{(\rho,w\mu)}q^{(\rho,-\mu+\tilde{\mu})}\tau(w\mu)\\ &= q^{(\rho,w\tilde{\mu})}\tau(w\tilde{\mu})\tau(w(\mu+\Theta(\mu)))\\ &= q^{(\rho,w\tilde{\mu})}\tau(w\tilde{\mu})+q^{(\rho,w\tilde{\mu})}\tau(w\tilde{\mu})(\tau(w(\mu+\Theta(\mu)))-1).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{P}_B(w.\tau(\mu))=\tilde{w}.\tau(\tilde{\mu})$. It should be noted here that $w.\tau(\mu)$ refers to the dotted action of the big Weyl group W while $\tilde{w}.\tau(\tilde{\mu})$ refers to the dotted action of the restricted Weyl group W_Θ. Since $w.f=f$, it follows that $\tilde{w}.P_B(f)=P_B(f)$. $\square$

Given $\tilde{\mu}\in P^+(\Sigma)$, set

$$\hat{m}(2\tilde{\mu})=\sum_{\gamma\in W_\Theta\tilde{\mu}}q^{(\rho,2\gamma)}\tau(2\gamma).$$

Note that $\hat{m}(2\tilde{\mu})$ is an element of $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot}$. Moreover, the set $\{\hat{m}(2\tilde{\mu})|\tilde{\mu}\in P^+(\Sigma)\}$ forms a basis for $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot}$. Now $\mathrm{tip}(\hat{m}(2\tilde{\mu}))=q^{(\rho,2\tilde{\mu}')}\tau(2\tilde{\mu}')$ where $\tilde{\mu}'$ is the image of $\tilde{\mu}$ under the longest element in W_Θ. Hence

$$\{\mathrm{tip}(u)|\ u\in\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot}\}=\mathrm{span}\{\tau(-2\tilde{\mu})|\ \mu\in P^+(\Sigma)\}. \tag{5.5}$$

The next theorem is part of a series of results that describe the image of $\check{U}^B$ under the map $\mathcal{P}_B$.

Theorem 5.4 *Suppose that $\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is not of type EIII, EIV, EVII, EIX, or CII(ii) and $\mathfrak{g}$ does not contain a θ invariant Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{r}$ of rank greater than or equal to 7 such that $\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{r}^\theta$ is of type AII. Then $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))=\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)=\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot}$.*

Proof: The first assertion follows from Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 5.2. Furthermore, Theorem 3.6, Lemma 4.3, and (5.4) implies that

$$\{\mathrm{tip}(\mathcal{P}_B(z))|\ z \in Z(\check{U})\} = \mathrm{span}\{\tau(-2\tilde{\mu})|\ \tilde{\mu} \in P^+(\Sigma)\}.$$

Hence by the discussion preceding the theorem, we have

$$\{\mathrm{tip}(\mathcal{P}_B(z))|\ z \in Z(\check{U})\} = \{\mathrm{tip}(u)|\ u \in \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta \cdot}\}.$$

Now Theorem 2.6 ensures that $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$ is a subring of $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$. The theorem now follows from the fact, guaranteed by Lemma 5.3, that $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$ is $W_\Theta\cdot$ invariant. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 5.4 uses the fact that $\widetilde{P^+(\pi)} = P^+(\Sigma)$ for the symmetric pairs under consideration. We see in the next section that this is not a necessary condition for the conclusions of Theorem 5.4 to hold. On the other hand, we show below that for symmetric pairs $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ of type EIII, EIV, EVII, and EIX, we have $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$ is a proper subset of $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta \cdot}$. In particular, the above theorem is not true for these symmetric pairs. Despite this failure, we show that the last assertion of the above theorem does hold in the remaining four types in [L6, Theorem 4.1].

Let $\check{U}_{\mathbf{C}(q)}$ denote the $\mathbf{C}(q)$ subalgebra of $\check{U}$ generated by x_i, y_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\check{T}$. Now the center $Z(\check{U})$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in n variables. Moreover, we may choose the generators of $Z(\check{U})$ to be elements of the smaller algebra $\check{U}_{\mathbf{C}(q)}$ as in [Jo, Section 7]. In particular, we may restrict our attention to the $\mathbf{C}(q)$ algebra $\check{U}_{\mathbf{C}(q)}$.

The next result is proved using specialization techniques and classical results. First we recall basic notions concerning specialization. Set A equal to the localization $\mathbf{C}[q]_{(q-1)}$ of $\mathbf{C}[q]$ at the maximal ideal $(q-1)$. Let $\hat{U}$ denote the A subalgebra of $\check{U}_{\mathbf{C}(q)}$ generated by x_i, y_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\check{T}$, and $(t-1)/(q-1)$ for all $t \in \check{T}$. We have an isomorphism

$$\hat{U} \otimes_A \mathbf{C} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \tag{5.6}$$

(see for example [L1, Section 2]). Given a subalgebra S of $\check{U}$, we say that S specializes to the subalgebra $\bar{S}$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ provided that the image of $S \cap \hat{U}$ in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is $\bar{S}$.

To make the exposition easier, we assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is simply laced and so all roots have the same length (which we assume is 2). Ultimately, our focus will be on the four exceptional symmetric pairs where the underlying Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is simply laced of type E_8. So this additional assumption does not affect the next theorem.

Let $h_1, \dots, h_n$ be a basis for the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ where h_i can be identified with the coroot of α_i via the Killing form. We have that $(t_i - 1)/(q - 1)$ is sent to h_i for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ under the isomorphism (5.6). More generally, if $\beta = \sum_i m_i \alpha_i$, then $(\tau(\beta) - 1)/(q - 1)$ specializes to $\sum_i m_i h_i$. Note further that t specializes to 1 for each $t \in \check{T}$. Set $T_2 = \{\tau(2\mu) | \; \mu \in P(\pi)\}$. Note that $(\tau(2\alpha_i) - 1)(q - 1)^{-1}$ specializes to $2h_i$. It follows that the specialization of $\mathcal{C}[T_2]$ at $q = 1$ is just the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{h})$ of the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$.

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the subspace of $\mathfrak{h}$ spanned by the set $\{h - \theta(h) | h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$. Note that $\tilde{h} = (h - \theta(h))/2$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ defines a projection of $\mathfrak{h}$ onto $\mathfrak{a}$. Moreover, this projection extends in the obvious way to an algebra homomorphism of $U(\mathfrak{h})$ onto $U(\mathfrak{a})$. Now the image of $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$ under specialization is just $U(\mathfrak{a})$. Recall that the restriction of $\mathcal{P}_B$ to $\check{U}^0$ sends $\tau(\mu)$ to $\tau(\tilde{\mu})$ for all $\mu \in P(\pi)$. Also, Lemma 3.3 ensures that the image of $\mathcal{C}[T_2]$ under $\mathcal{P}_B$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$. In particular, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}[T_2] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & U(\mathfrak{h}) \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}] \arrow[r] & U(\mathfrak{a})
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.7}$$

where the top and bottom maps are specialization and the downward maps are algebra homomorphisms defined using $\tilde{\ }$. Moreover, all maps in this diagram are surjections.

Let α be a simple root in π and let s_α denote the corresponding reflection in W. For each i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha \cdot \frac{(t_i - 1)}{(q - 1)} &= \frac{(q^{(\rho, s_\alpha \alpha_i - \alpha_i)} t_i - 1)}{(q - 1)} \\ &= \frac{(q^{(\rho, s_\alpha \alpha_i - \alpha_i)} - 1)}{(q - 1)} t_i + \frac{(t_i - 1)}{(q - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is simply laced it follows that $s_\alpha \alpha_i = \alpha_i - (\alpha_i, \alpha)\alpha$. Furthermore, $(\rho, \alpha) = 1$. It follows that $s_\alpha \cdot (t_i - 1)(q - 1)^{-1}$ specializes to $h_i - (\alpha_i, \alpha)$.

In particular, the dotted action of W on $\check{U}^0$ specializes to the dotted (or translated) action of W on $U(\mathfrak{h})$ (see [H, Section 23.3]). A similar argument shows that the dotted action of W_Θ on $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$ specializes to the dotted action of W_Θ on $U(\mathfrak{a})$ as defined in [He, Section 2]. Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}[T_2]^{W\cdot} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & U(\mathfrak{h})^{W\cdot} \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot} \arrow[r] & U(\mathfrak{a})^{W_\Theta\cdot}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.8}$$

where the maps are the same as in the commutative diagram (5.7). Note that the top and bottom maps are still surjections.

Theorem 5.5 *Suppose $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is an irreducible symmetric pair of type EIII, EIV, EVII, or EIX. Then $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U})) \neq \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot}$*

Proof: Note that the image of the center $Z(\check{U})$ under the ordinary (quantum) Harish-Chandra map $\mathcal{P}$ is just $\mathcal{C}[T_2]^{W\cdot}$ ([Jo, Lemma 7.1.17 and 7.1.25]). Assume that $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U})) = \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot}$. Recall that the restriction of $\mathcal{P}_B$ to $Z(\check{U})$ agrees $\mathcal{P}_B \circ \mathcal{P}$. It follows that the map from $\mathcal{C}[T_2]^{W\cdot}$ to $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot}$ in the commutative diagram (5.8) is surjective. Hence the map from $U(\mathfrak{h})^{W\cdot}$ to $U(\mathfrak{a})^{W_\Theta\cdot}$ is surjective. Therefore the image of the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ under the classical Harish-Chandra map associated to $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is equal to the entire invariant ring $U(\mathfrak{a})^{W_\Theta\cdot}$. This contradicts [He]. $\square$

It should be noted that surjectivity of the map from $U(\mathfrak{h})^{W\cdot}$ to $U(\mathfrak{a})^{W_\Theta\cdot}$ does not imply surjectivity of the map from $\mathcal{C}[T_2]^{W\cdot}$ to $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot}$. The problem is that there are many subrings of $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot}$ which surject onto $U(\mathfrak{a})^{W_\Theta\cdot}$. (One such example is $\mathcal{C}[t^2|t \in \mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot}$.) The reader is referred to [JL2, 6.13] for a similar situation. In particular, it is shown in [JL2] that the center $Z(U)$ of the ordinary quantized enveloping algebra U specializes to the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. However, for many simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$, $Z(U)$ is not a polynomial ring while $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is always a polynomial ring.

6 The image of the center

In the classical case, the image of the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ under the Harish-Chandra map associated to the symmetric pair $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is equal to the image of the whole invariant subalgebra $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}^\theta}$ in all but the four exceptional cases EIII, EIV, EVII, and EIX. The purpose of this section is to establish the quantum version of this result. In particular, we prove the following generalization of Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.5.

Theorem 6.1 *Let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ be an irreducible symmetric pair. Then for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U})) = \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta \cdot}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is not of type EIII, EIV, EVII, and EIX. Moreover, in these cases, $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B) = \mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$.*

Let B be a fixed coideal subalgebra in the set $\mathcal{B}$ associated to $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$. Write t for the rank of the restricted root system associated to $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$. Note that when $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type EIII, EIV, EVII, EIX or $\widetilde{P^+(\pi)} = P^+(\Sigma)$, then the assertions of the theorem follow from Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 5.5. Thus we assume in this section that $\mathfrak{g}$ contains a θ invariant Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{r}$ such that $\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}^\theta$ is of type AII and the rank of the restricted root system associated to $\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}^\theta$ is $t-1$. We further assume that $t \geq 4$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is of classical type. It should be noted that all irreducible symmetric pairs not covered in the theorems of Section 5 satisfy these conditions. Thus, we prove Theorem 6.1 by showing that $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U})) = \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta \cdot}$ under precisely these assumptions.

The possible symmetric pairs that satisfy the assumptions presented in the previous paragraph are given in (3.11)-(3.15). As in Section 3, we write $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_t$ for the fundamental restricted roots and $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t$ for the simple restricted roots. We also assume that $\eta_0 = 0$ and $\eta_{t+1} = 0$.

Now $Z(\check{U})$ is generated by $z_{2\omega_1}, \dots, z_{2\omega_n}$ ([Jo, Section 7.3]). Thus, Theorem 2.4 ensures that $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$ is generated by $\mathcal{P}_B(z_{2\omega_1}), \dots, \mathcal{P}_B(z_{2\omega_n})$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta \cdot}$ is generated by $\hat{m}(2\eta_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, in order to show $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$ is equal to $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta \cdot}$, it is sufficient to express the $\hat{m}(2\eta_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$ as polynomials in the $\mathcal{P}_B(z_{2\omega_i})$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. The first step is to write the $\mathcal{P}_B(z_{2\omega_i})$ as a linear combination of the $\hat{m}(2\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in P^+(\Sigma)$. The next lemma which analyzes dominant integral weights of the form $\eta_r + \eta_s$ is critical in this process.

Lemma 6.2 *Assume that Σ is of type A_t. Suppose that r and k are positive integers so that $r \leq k$ and $r + k \leq t + 1$. The set of elements of $P^+(\Sigma)$ which are strictly less than $\eta_r + \eta_k$ is $\{\eta_{r-s} + \eta_{k+s} | 1 \leq s \leq r\}$.*

Proof: It is straightforward to check that

$$\eta_r + \eta_k - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=r-i+1}^{k+i-1} \mu_j = \eta_{r-s} + \eta_{k+s} \tag{6.1}$$

for $1 \leq s \leq r$. Hence $\{\eta_{r-s} + \eta_{k+s} | 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ is a subset of the intersection of $\{\eta_r + \eta_k - \gamma | \gamma \in Q^+(\Sigma) \setminus \{0\}\}$ with $P^+(\Sigma)$.

Suppose that i satisfies $1 \leq i \leq t$. By [H, Section 13.2, Table 1], the coefficient of μ_t in η_i written as a linear combination of simple restricted roots $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_t$ is $i/(t+1)$. Now suppose that γ is a nonzero element of $Q^+(\Sigma)$ such that $\eta_r + \eta_k - \gamma \in P^+(\Sigma)$. It follows that the coefficient of μ_t in $\eta_r + \eta_k$ written as a linear combination of the simple restricted roots is $(r+k)/(t+1)$. On the other hand, the fact that $\gamma \in Q^+(\Sigma)$ implies that the coefficient of μ_t in γ is a nonnegative integer. Since $\eta_r + \eta_k - \gamma$ is in $P^+(\Sigma)$, the coefficient of μ_t in $\eta_r + \eta_k - \gamma$ must be nonnegative. Note that $0 < (r+k)/(t+1) \leq 1$. It follows that the coefficient of μ_t in $\eta_r + \eta_k - \gamma$ written as a linear combination of the simple restricted roots must either be $(r+k)/(t+1)$ or 0. This latter case can only occur if $r+k = t+1$. Moreover, the only linear combination of the $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_{t-1}$ contained in $P^+(\Sigma)$ is 0. Hence this latter case happens only when $\eta_r + \eta_k - \gamma = 0 = \eta_0 + \eta_{t+1}$.

Now assume that the coefficient of μ_t in $\eta_t + \eta_k - \gamma$ is $(r+k)/(t+1)$. Write $\eta_r + \eta_k - \gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{t} m_i \eta_i$ where the m_i are nonnegative integers. Using the previous paragraph, a comparison of the coefficient of μ_t in both sides of this equation yields

$$\sum_i \frac{m_i i}{(t+1)} = \frac{(r+k)}{(t+1)}. \tag{6.2}$$

We do a similar comparison using the restricted root μ_1. By [H, Section 13.2, Table 1], the coefficient of μ_1 in η_i is $(t-i+1)/(t+1)$. Hence the coefficient of μ_1 in $\sum_i m_i \eta_i$ written as a linear combination of the μ_i is

$$\sum_i \frac{m_i(t-i+1)}{(t+1)} = \sum_i m_i - \sum_i \frac{m_i i}{(t+1)} = \sum_i m_i - \frac{(r+k)}{(t+1)}$$

On the other hand, the coefficient of μ_1 in $\eta_r + \eta_k$ is

$$\frac{(t-r+1)}{(t+1)} + \frac{(t-k+1)}{(t+1)} = 2 - \frac{(r+k)}{(t+1)}.$$

The fact that $\eta_r + \eta_k > \sum_i m_i \eta_i$ ensures that

$$0 \leq \sum_i m_i - \frac{(r+k)}{(t+1)} < 2 - \frac{(r+k)}{(t+1)}.$$

Since the m_i are nonnegative integers, there are two possibilities. The first is that there exists an i with $1 \leq i \leq t$, $m_i = 1$, and $m_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$. By (6.2), the coefficient μ_t in $\eta_r + \eta_k - \gamma$ is $(r+k)/(t+1)$. It follows from [H, Section 13.2, Table 1] that $i = r + k$. Thus $\eta_r + \eta_k - \gamma = \eta_{r+k} = \eta_0 + \eta_{r+k}$.

In the second case, there exists i and j with $1 \leq i, j \leq t$, $m_i = m_j = 1$, and $m_k = 0$ for $k \notin \{i, j\}$. Since the coefficient of μ_t in $\eta_r + \eta_k - \gamma$ is $(r+k)/(t+1)$, we must have that $\eta_r + \eta_k - \gamma = \eta_i + \eta_j$ with $i + j = k + r$. If $i \geq r$, then (6.1) implies that $\eta_i + \eta_j \geq \eta_r + \eta_k$. Thus $i < r$. In particular, we can write $i = r - s$ and $j = k + s$ for some $1 \leq s \leq r$. □

Consider the case when $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is an irreducible symmetric pair of type AII. It follows that the restricted root system is of type A_t and the rank n of $\mathfrak{g}$, is equal to $2t + 1$. For this particular irreducible symmetric pair, it is straightforward to check that the only elements of $Q(\pi)$ fixed by Θ are elements of $Q(\pi_\Theta)$. It follows that for each $\alpha \in Q(\pi)$, $\tilde{\alpha} = 0$ if and only if $\alpha \in Q(\pi_\Theta)$.

Given a root α in the root system Δ generated by π, let s_α denote the reflection in W associated to α. The next lemma determines the number of weights in the W orbit of ω_{i+k} whose restriction is equal to $\eta_{i-s} + \eta_{k+s}$ where $k = i$ or $k = i + 1$.

Lemma 6.3 *Assume that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is an irreducible symmetric pair of type AII. Suppose i is an integer such that $1 \leq i \leq (t+1)/2$. For all integers s such that $0 \leq s \leq i$, the number of elements in the set*

$$\{\beta \in W\omega_{2i} | \tilde{\beta} = \eta_{i-s} + \eta_{i+s}\}$$

equals 2^s. Similarly, suppose $0 \leq i \leq t/2$. Then for all s such that $0 \leq s \leq i$, the number of of elements in the set

$$\{\beta \in W\omega_{2i+1} | \tilde{\beta} = \eta_{i-s} + \eta_{i+1+s}\}$$

equals 2^{s+1}.

Proof: Fix i such that $1 \leq i \leq (t+1)/2$. Set $\gamma_0 = \omega_{2i}$. For each s such that $1 \leq s \leq i$, set

$$\gamma_s = \omega_{2i-2s+1} - \omega_{2i-2s+2} + \omega_{2i-2s+3} + \cdots - \omega_{2i-2s+(4s-2)} + \omega_{2i-2s+(4s-1)}.$$

Recall that $\mu_j = \tilde{\alpha}_{2j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$. Hence by (3.10), if $2j+1$ is not an element of the set $\{2i-2s+1, 2i-2s+2, 2i+2s-2, 2i+2s-1\}$, then $(\gamma_s, \mu_j) = 0$. Since j is an integer, $2j+1$ cannot equal $2i-2s+2$ or $2i+2s-2$. Temporarily set $\mu_0 = \mu_{t+1} = 0$. If $2j+1 = 2i-2s+1$, then $j = i-s$ and $(\gamma_s, \mu_j) = (\omega_{2i-s}, \mu_j) = (\eta_j, \mu_j)$. Similarly, if $2j-1 = 2i+2s-1$ then $j = i+s$ and $(\gamma_s, \mu_j) = (\omega_{2i+s}, \mu_j) = (\eta_j, \mu_j)$. Thus $\tilde{\gamma}_s = \eta_{i-s} + \eta_{i+s}$. (Note that these computations work even in the special case when $i = s$.)

We claim that $\gamma_s \in W\omega_{2i}$ for $0 \leq s \leq i$. Now $\gamma_0 = \omega_{2i}$ and so $\gamma_0 \in W\omega_{2i}$. Also, $(\gamma_0, \alpha_{2i}) = 1$ and in particular, $s_{\alpha_{2i}}\gamma_0 = \gamma_0 - \alpha_{2i} = \omega_{2i-1} - \omega_{2i} + \omega_{2i+1} = \gamma_1$. Hence $\gamma_1 \in W\omega_{2i}$. Now assume that $\gamma_s \in W\omega_{2i}$. It is straightforward to check that

$$\gamma_{s+1} = \gamma_s - \alpha$$

where

$$\alpha = \alpha_{2i-2s-1} + \alpha_{2i-2s} + \alpha_{2i-2s+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{2i+2s+1}.$$

Note that α is a positive root in Δ. Moreover, $(\gamma_s, \alpha) = 1$. Hence $s_\alpha \gamma_s = \gamma_{s+1}$. Therefore, the claim follows by induction on s.

Note that the set

$$\{\beta \in W\omega_{2i} | \tilde{\beta} = \eta_{i-s} + \eta_{i+s}\} \tag{6.3}$$

equals the set

$$\{\beta \in W\omega_{2i} | \tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\gamma}_s\}.$$

By the discussion preceding the theorem, $\tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\gamma}_s$ if and only if $\beta - \gamma_s \in Q(\pi_\Theta)$. Recall that W' is the Weyl group associated to the root system generated by π_Θ. Since $\beta \in W\gamma_s$ and $\gamma_s - \beta \in Q(\pi_\Theta)$, it follows that $\beta \in W'\gamma_s$. Hence the number of elements in (6.3) is equal to $|W'|/|\text{Stab}_{W'}\gamma_s|$.

Set $s_i = s_{\alpha_i}$ for $\alpha_i \in \pi$. Note that W' is generated by $\{s_{2r+1} | 0 \leq r \leq t\}$. Morever, each s_{2j+1} has order 2 while $s_{2j+1}s_{2r+1} = s_{2r+1}s_{2j+1}$ for $r \neq j$. Hence W' is isomorphic to $t+1$ copies of $\mathbf{Z}_2$. Thus $|W'| = 2^{t+1}$. On the other hand, $\text{Stab}_{W'}\gamma_s = \{s_{2r+1} | r \neq 2i + 2j + 1 \text{ for } -s \leq j \leq s-1\}$. It follows that $\text{Stab}_{W'}\gamma_s$ is isomorphic to $t+1-(2s)$ copies of $\mathbf{Z}_2$. Hence

$|\mathrm{Stab}_{W'}\gamma_s| = 2^{t+1-2s}$ and $|W'|/|\mathrm{Stab}_{W'}\gamma_s| = 2^{2s}$. This completes the proof of the first assertion. The second assertion follows in a similar fashion.$\square$

We continue the analysis of the case when $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type AII. Note that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ of type AII implies that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_n. Hence ω_i is a minuscule fundamental weight for $1 \leq i \leq n$. (See [M] or [L5, Section 7] for a definition of minuscule weight.) In particular, there does not exist $\gamma \in P^+(\pi)$ such that $\omega_i > \gamma$. By Lemma 5.1, there exists a nonzero scalars a_i such that $\mathcal{P}(a_i z_{2\omega_i})$ is just the sum

$$\sum_{\mu \in W\omega_i} q^{(\rho, 2\mu)} \tau(2\mu).$$

By the discussion following the proof of Lemma 5.1, $\mathcal{P}_B(a_i z_{2\omega_i})$ is a linear combination of terms of the form $\tau(2\tilde{\mu})$ with $\tilde{\mu} \in P(\Sigma)$. Moreover, the coefficients are Laurent polynomials in q with nonnegative integer coefficients and the coefficient of $\tau(2\tilde{\omega}_i)$ is nonzero. Lemma 5.3 ensures that we can also write $\mathcal{P}_B(a_i z_{2\omega_i})$ as a linear combination of terms of the form $\hat{m}(2\tilde{\mu})$ with $\tilde{\mu} \in P^+(\Sigma)$. The next lemma gives the evaluation at $q = 1$ of the coefficients of the $\hat{m}(2\tilde{\mu})$ in these sums for some of the $\mathcal{P}_B(a_i z_{2\omega_i})$.

Lemma 6.4 *Assume that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is an irreducible symmetric pair of type AII. Then for each integer i such that $2 \leq 2i \leq t+1$, there exist Laurent polynomials $f_{2s}(q), 0 \leq s \leq i$, such that $\mathcal{P}_B(z_{2\omega_{2i}})$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of*

$$\sum_{0 \leq s \leq i} f_{2s}(q) \hat{m}(2\eta_{i-s} + 2\eta_{i+s}).$$

Similarly, for each integer i such that $1 \leq 2i+1 \leq t+1$, there exist Laurent polynomials $f_{2s+1}(q), 0 \leq s \leq i$, such that $\mathcal{P}_B(z_{2\omega_{2i+1}})$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of

$$\sum_{0 \leq s \leq i} f_{2s+1}(q) \hat{m}(2\eta_{i-s} + 2\eta_{i+1+s}).$$

Moreover, the coefficients of powers of q in each $f_j(q)$ are nonnegative integers and $f_j(1) = 2^j$ for all $0 \leq j \leq 2i+1$.

Proof: Let i be a positive integer such that $i \leq (t+1)/2$. By Lemma 6.2, the only restricted dominant integral weights less than or equal to $\tilde{\omega}_{2i}$ are of the form $\eta_{i-s} + \eta_{i+s}$ for $0 \leq s \leq i$. Hence, by the discussion preceding the lemma, for each s such that $0 \leq s \leq i$, there exists a Laurent polynomial $f_{2s}(q)$ in q such that

$$\mathcal{P}_B(a_i z_{2\omega_{2i}}) = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq i} f_{2s}(q)\hat{m}(2\eta_{i-s} + 2\eta_{i+s}).$$

Recall that each W_Θ orbit of a single element in $P(\Sigma)$ contains a single dominant integral weight in $P^+(\Sigma)$. Hence if we expand out $\mathcal{P}_B(a_i z_{2\omega_{2i}})$ as a sum of terms of the form $\tau(2\tilde{\mu})$ with $\tilde{\mu} \in P(\Sigma)$, it follows that the coefficient of $\tau(2\eta_{i-s} + 2\eta_{i+s})$ is $f_{2s}(q)$. On the other hand, this coefficient can be computed using the expression of $\mathcal{P}(az_{2\omega_{2i}})$ in the paragraph preceding the lemma. In particular, $f_{2s}(q)$ is just the sum

$$\sum_{\{\tilde{\gamma} \in W\omega_{2i} | \tilde{\gamma} = \eta_{i-s} + \eta_{i+s}\}} q^{(\rho, 2\tilde{\gamma})}.$$

This shows that each $f_{2s}(q)$ is a Laurent polynomial in q with nonnegative coefficients. Moreover, $f_{2s}(1)$ is just the number of elements γ in $W\omega_{2i}$ such that $\tilde{\gamma} = \eta_{i-s} + \eta_{i+s}$. By Lemma 6.3, we have $f_{2s}(1) = 2^{2s}$. This completes the proof for the central element $z_{2\omega_{2i}}$. The proof for $z_{2\omega_{2i+1}}$ follows in a similar fashion. □

Note that the map which sends $q^{(\rho, 2\eta_i)}\tau(2\eta_i)$ to z^{η_i} for each $1 \leq i \leq t$ defines an algebra isomorphism from $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]$ onto $\mathcal{C}[P(\Sigma)]$. For each $\lambda \in P(\Sigma)$, set

$$m(\lambda) = \sum_{\gamma \in W_\Theta \lambda} z^\gamma.$$

The image of $\hat{m}(2\lambda)$ under this isomorphism is just $m(\lambda)$. In the next few lemmas, the computations are done using $m(\lambda)$ instead of $\hat{m}(2\lambda)$ in order to make the notation easier.

Lemma 6.5 *Assume that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is an irreducible symmetric pair of type AII. Let r and k be two positive integers such that $r \leq k$ and $r + k = t + 1$. Then for all $0 \leq j \leq r$, the coefficient of* 1 *in $\hat{m}(2\omega_{r-j})\hat{m}(2\omega_{k+j})$ written as a linear combination of the set $\{\hat{m}(2\lambda) | \lambda \in P^+(\Sigma)\}$ is $\binom{t+1}{r-j}$.*

Proof: Using the isomorphism described above, we replace each $\hat{m}(2\lambda)$ with $m(\lambda)$ in the proof of the lemma. Fix j such that $0 \leq j \leq r$. Note that if $j = r$, then $m(\eta_{r-j})m(\eta_{k+j}) = m(\eta_0)m(\eta_{t+1}) = 1$. Since $\binom{t+1}{0} = 1$, the lemma follows in this case. Hence we may assume that $j < r$.

Note that

$$m(\eta_{r-j}) \in z^{\eta_{r-j}} + \sum_{\gamma<\eta_{r-j}} \mathbf{N}z^{\gamma}$$

and

$$m(\eta_{k+j}) \in z^{\eta_{k+j}} + \sum_{\gamma<\eta_{k+j}} \mathbf{N}z^{\gamma}.$$

Hence

$$m(\eta_{r-j})m(\eta_{k+j}) \in z^{\eta_{r-j}+\eta_{k+j}} + \sum_{\gamma<\eta_{r-j}+\eta_{k+j}} \mathbf{N}z^{\gamma}.$$

Now $m(\eta_{r-j})m(\eta_{k+j})$ is W_Θ invariant. Hence Lemma 6.2 implies that there exists a nonnegative integer a such that

$$\begin{aligned} m(\eta_{r-j})m(\eta_{k+j}) \in{} & m(\eta_{r-j}+\eta_{k+j}) + am(0) \\ & + \sum_{1\le b<r-j} \mathbf{N}m(\eta_{r-j-b}+\eta_{k+j+b}). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $m(0)$ is just $z^0 = 1$ and a is the coefficient of 1 in $m(\eta_{r-j})m(\eta_{k+j})$.

Since the root system Σ is of type A_t and $r + k = t + 1$, Σ admits a diagram automorphism d which sends μ_{r-j} to μ_{k+j} for $0 \le j \le r-1$. Let w_0' denote the longest element of the Weyl group W_Θ and note that $w_0' = -d$. We have the following equality of sets

$$\{w\mu_{r-j} | w \in W_\Theta\} = \{ww_0'\mu_{r-j} | w \in W_\Theta\} = \{-w\mu_{k+j} | w \in W_\Theta\}.$$

Thus if we write

$$m(\mu_{r-j}) = \sum_{1\le i\le s} z^{\gamma_i},$$

then

$$m(\mu_{k+j}) = \sum_{1\le i\le s} z^{-\gamma_i}.$$

It follows that $s = a$. Moreover, s is just the number of elements in the orbit $W_\Theta\mu_{r-j}$. Hence $s = |W_\Theta|/|\mathrm{Stab}_{W_\Theta}\mu_{r-j}|$. Now $\mathrm{Stab}_{W_\Theta}\mu_{r-j}$ is just the subgroup of W_Θ generated by the reflections corresponding to the simple roots μ_k with $k \ne r-j$. In particular, $\mathrm{Stab}_{W_\Theta}\mu_{r-j}$ is isomorphic to the direct product of two groups $W_1 \times W_2$ where W_1 is the Weyl group associated to a root system of type A_{r-j-1} and W_2 is the Wey group associated to a root system of type A_{t-r+j}. Hence, by [H, Section 12.2, Table 1] it follows that

$$a = |W_\Theta||\mathrm{Stab}_{W_\Theta}\mu_{r-j}|^{-1} = \frac{(t+1)!}{(r-j)!(t+1-r+j)!} = \binom{t+1}{r-j}.\square$$

Suppose that Σ_s' is a subset of the set of simple restricted roots $\{\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_t\}$. We define here notation associated to Σ_s' which is used in the rest of the section for different choices of subsets Σ_s' of the set of simple restricted roots. Let Σ' be the sub root system of Σ generated by Σ_s'. Let W_Θ' denote the Weyl group of Σ'. For each $\lambda \in P(\Sigma)$, let λ' be the element of $P^+(\Sigma')$ such that $(\lambda - \lambda', \gamma) = 0$ for all $\gamma \in Q(\Sigma')$. Set

$$\mathcal{N} = \sum_{\mu_i \in \Sigma_s \setminus \Sigma_s'} \sum_{\gamma \in Q^+(\Sigma)} \mathbf{N} z^{-\mu_i - \gamma}.$$

Given $\lambda \in P^+(\Sigma)$, set

$$m'(\lambda) = \sum_{\gamma \in W_\Theta' \lambda} z^\gamma.$$

Note that

$$m(\lambda) \in z^{\lambda - \lambda'} m'(\lambda') + z^\lambda \mathcal{N}. \tag{6.4}$$

Moreover,

$$m(\lambda)m(\beta) \in z^{\lambda - \lambda' + \beta - \beta'} m'(\lambda')m'(\beta') + z^{\lambda+\beta}\mathcal{N}. \tag{6.5}$$

Consider for the moment the special case when Σ_s is the empty set. Expression (6.5) becomes

$$m(\lambda)m(\beta) \in z^{\lambda+\beta} + z^{\lambda+\beta} \sum_{\gamma \in Q^+(\Sigma) \setminus \{0\}} \mathbf{N} z^{-\gamma}.$$

Now suppose that $\lambda = \eta_r$ and $\beta = \eta_k$. It follows from Lemma 6.2 that $m(\eta_r)m(\eta_k)$ can be written as a linear combination of elements in the set $\{m(\eta_{r-s} + \eta_{k+s}) |\ 0 \leq s \leq r\}$.

Lemma 6.6 *Assume that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is an irreducible symmetric pair of type AII. Let r and k be two positive integers such that $r \leq k$ and $r + k \leq t + 1$. Then*

$$\hat{m}(2\eta_r)\hat{m}(2\eta_k) = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq r} \binom{k - r + 2s}{s} \hat{m}(2\eta_{r-s} + 2\eta_{k+s}).$$

Proof: Once again, we use $m(\lambda)$ instead of $\hat{m}(2\lambda)$ in proving this lemma. First consider the special case when $r = 0$ and $k = t + 1$. Note that this forces $s = 0$. Moreover, $m(\eta_0)m(\eta_{n+1}) = m(0)m(0) = 1$ while $\binom{t+1}{0} = 1$. So the lemma follows in this case. Now assume that r and s are chosen so that $0 \leq s \leq r$ and either $r > 0$ or $k < t + 1$.

Set $\Sigma'_s = \{\mu_i |\ r - s + 1 \leq i \leq k + s - 1\}$ and note that Σ'_s is the set of simple roots for a root system of type $A_{k-r+2s-1}$. For each i, the weight η'_i is just the fundamental weight corresponding to the simple root μ_i in the weight lattice associated to the root system Σ'_s. Checking the formulas for the fundamental weights in [H, Section 3.2, Table 1] yields that $\eta'_r + \eta'_k \in Q^+(\Sigma')$. Since $Q^+(\Sigma')$ is a subset of $Q^+(\Sigma)$, it further follows that $\eta'_r + \eta'_k \in Q^+(\Sigma)$. Now $(\mu_i, \mu_b) \leq 0$ for all $\mu_i \in \Sigma'$ and $\mu_b \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma'$. Thus $(-\eta'_r - \eta'_k, \mu_b)$ is a nonnegative integer for all $\mu_b \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma'$. Moreover, since Σ is a root system of type A_t, $\mu_b \in \Sigma \setminus \Sigma'$ implies that $(-\eta'_r - \eta'_k, \mu_b) = 0$ unless $b = r - s$ or $b = k + s$.

Now consider the weight $\gamma = \eta_r + \eta_k - \eta'_r - \eta'_k$. The above discussion ensures that $(\gamma, \mu_b) = 0$ for all $\mu_b \in \Sigma \setminus \{\mu_{r-s}, \mu_{k+s}\}$ while $(\gamma, \mu_b) \geq 0$ for $\mu_b \in \{\mu_{r-s}, \mu_{k+s}\}$. Thus γ is linear combination of the fundamental weights η_{r-s} and η_{k+s} with nonnegative integer coefficients. By the previous paragraph, $(\eta_r + \eta_k) - \gamma = \eta'_r + \eta'_k$ is in $Q^+(\Sigma)$. Hence it follows from Lemma 6.2 that γ must equal $\eta_{r-s} + \eta_{k+s}$. In particular, we have

$$\eta_r + \eta_k - \eta'_r - \eta'_k = \eta_{r-s} + \eta_{k+s}. \tag{6.6}$$

Thus, applying (6.5) to $m(\lambda)m(\beta)$ yields

$$m(\eta_r)m(\eta_k) \in z^{\eta_{r-s}+\eta_{k+s}} m'(\eta'_r)m'(\eta'_k) + z^{\eta_r+\eta_k}\mathcal{N}.$$

Consider the following two ways to expand the product $m(\eta_r)m(\eta_k)$. The first is as a linear combination of terms of the form z^β for $\beta \in P(\Sigma)$ while the second is as a linear combination of terms of the form $m(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in P^+(\Sigma)$. Note that the coefficient of $z^{\eta_{r-s}+\eta_{k+s}}$ in $m(\eta_r)m(\eta_k)$ written the first way is the same as the coefficient of $m(\eta_{r-s} + \eta_{k+s})$ in $m(\eta_r)m(\eta_k)$ written the second way. By (6.6), $z^{\eta_{r-s}+\eta_{k+s}}$ is not an element of $z^{\eta_r+\eta_k}\mathcal{N}$. Hence the coefficient of $z^{\eta_{r-s}+\eta_{k+s}}$ in $m(\eta_r)m(\eta_k)$ equals the coefficient of $z^{\eta_{r-s}+\eta_{k+s}}$ in $z^{\eta_{r-s}+\eta_{k+s}} m'(\eta'_r)m'(\eta'_k)$. But this is the same as the coefficient of 1 in $m'(\eta'_r)m'(\eta'_k)$. Recall that the first simple root in Σ'_s is μ_{r-s+1} and so μ_r is the s^{th} simple root. Also, there are $k-r+2s-1$ simple roots in Σ'_s. Thus, by Lemma 6.5, the coefficient of $z^{\eta_{r-s}+\eta_{k+s}}$ in $z^{\eta_{r-s}+\eta_{k+s}}m'(\eta'_r)m'(\eta'_k)$ is

$$\binom{k-r+2s}{s}\square$$

Assume that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type AII. Given an integer l such that $1 \leq 2l \leq t+1$, set

$$\hat{M}_{2l} = \sum_{0\leq i\leq r} 2^{2i}\hat{m}(2\eta_{l-i} + 2\eta_{l+i}). \tag{6.7}$$

Similarly, given an integer l satisfying $1 \leq 2l+1 \leq t+1$, set

$$\hat{M}_{2l+1} = \sum_{0\leq i\leq r} 2^{1+2i}\hat{m}(2\eta_{l-i} + 2\eta_{l+1+i}). \tag{6.8}$$

By Lemma 6.4, $\hat{M}_k$ can be obtained from $\mathcal{P}_B(z_{2\omega_k})$ by writing the latter as a linear combination of the $\hat{m}(2\lambda)$, for $\lambda \in P^+(\Sigma)$, and evaluating each coefficient at $q=1$. Let M_k be the image of $\hat{M}_k$ in $\mathcal{C}[P(\Sigma)]$ obtained using the isomorphism described before Lemma 6.5.

Lemma 6.7 *Assume that Σ is of type A_t.*

(i) Let l be a positive integer such that $1 \leq 2l \leq t+1$. Then $\hat{m}(\eta_{2l})$ is in the span of the set $\{\mathcal{P}_B(z_{2\omega_{2l}})\} \cup \{\hat{m}(2\eta_{l-j})\hat{m}(2\eta_{l+j})|\ 0 \leq j < l\}$.

(ii) Let l be a positive integer such that $1 \leq 2l+1 \leq t+1$. Then $\hat{m}(2\eta_{2l+1})$ is in the span of the set $\{\mathcal{P}_B(z_{2\omega_{2l+1}})\} \cup \{\hat{m}(2\eta_{l-j})\hat{m}(2\eta_{l+1+j})|\ 0 \leq j < l\}$.

Proof: We prove (i). Assertion (ii) follows using a similar argument. We first prove that $m(\eta_{2l})$ is in the $\mathbf{Q}$ span of the set $\{M_{2l}\} \cup \{m(\eta_{l-j})m(\eta_{l+j})|\ 0 \leq j < l\}$. Recall that

$$\binom{2i}{r} = \binom{2i}{2i-r}$$

for all $0 \leq r \leq 2i$. Hence

$$2^{2i} = (1+1)^{2i} = \sum_{0\leq r\leq 2i}\binom{2i}{r} = \binom{2i}{i} + 2\sum_{0\leq r\leq i-1}\binom{2i}{r}.$$

By Lemma 6.6, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&m(\eta_l)m(\eta_l) + \sum_{1\le j\le l-1} 2m(\eta_{l-j})m(\eta_{l+j})\\
&= \sum_{0\le s\le l} \binom{2s}{s} m(\eta_{l-s}+\eta_{l+s}) + \sum_{1\le j\le l-1}\sum_{0\le s\le l-j} 2\binom{2j+2s}{s} m(\eta_{l-j-s}+\eta_{l+j+s})\\
&= \sum_{0\le s\le l} \binom{2s}{s} m(\eta_{l-s}+\eta_{l+s}) + \sum_{1\le j\le l-1}\sum_{j\le i\le l} 2\binom{2i}{i-j} m(\eta_{l-i}+\eta_{l+i}).
\end{aligned}$$

One checks that the subset $\{(j,i)|1\le j\le l-1 \text{ and } j\le i\le l\}\cup\{(l,l)\}$ of $\mathbf{Z}\times\mathbf{Z}$ is equal to the subset $\{(j,i)|1\le i\le l \text{ and } 1\le j\le i\}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&m(\eta_l)m(\eta_l) + \sum_{1\le j\le l-1} 2m(\eta_{l-j})m(\eta_{l+j})\\
&= \sum_{0\le s\le l} \binom{2s}{s} m(\eta_{l-s}+\eta_{l+s}) + \sum_{1\le i\le l}\sum_{1\le j\le i} 2\binom{2i}{i-j} m(\eta_{l-i}+\eta_{l+i}) - 2\binom{2l}{0} m(\eta_{2l})\\
&= \sum_{0\le s\le l} \left(\binom{2s}{s} + \sum_{1\le j\le s} 2\binom{2s}{s-j}\right) m(\eta_{l-s}+\eta_{l+s}) - 2m(\eta_{2l})\\
&= \sum_{0\le s\le l} 2^{2s} m(\eta_{l-s}+\eta_{l+s}) - 2m(\eta_{2l}) = M_{2l} - 2m(\eta_{2l})
\end{aligned}$$

Define X by

$$X = \mathcal{P}_B(z_{2\omega_{2l}}) - \hat{m}(2\eta_l)\hat{m}(2\eta_l) - \sum_{1\le j\le l-1} 2\hat{m}(2\eta_{l-j})\hat{m}(2\eta_{l+j}).$$

By Lemma 6.4 and Lemma 6.6 we can write $X - 2\hat{m}(2\eta_{2l})$ as a linear combination of elements in the set $\{\hat{m}(2\eta_{l-i}+2\eta_{l+i})|0\le i\le l\}$. Set N equal to the $\mathbf{Q}$ vector space $\sum_{0\le i\le l}\mathbf{Q}\hat{m}(\eta_{l-i}+\eta_{l+i})$. The above computations ensure that the coefficient of each $\hat{m}(2\eta_{l-i}+2\eta_{l+i})$ in X is an element of $(q-1)\mathbf{Q}[q,q^{-1}]N$. Now Lemma 6.6 implies that the set

$$\{\hat{m}(2\eta_{l-i})\hat{m}(2\eta_{l+j}) + \mathbf{Q}\hat{m}(2\eta_{2l})|0\le i< l\}$$

is a basis for the $\mathbf{Q}$ vector space $N/(\mathbf{Q}\hat{m}(2\eta_{2l}))$. Hence there exist Laurent polynomials $g_0,\ldots,g_l$ in $\mathbf{Q}[q,q^{-1}]$ so that

$$X - 2\hat{m}(2\eta_{2l}) = \sum_{0\le i\le l-1} (q-1)g_i\hat{m}(2\eta_{l-i})\hat{m}(2\eta_{l+j}) + (q-1)g_l\hat{m}(2\eta_{2l}).$$

Thus

$$X - \sum_{0\leq i\leq l-1}(q-1)g_i\hat{m}(2\eta_{l-i})\hat{m}(2\eta_{l+j}) = (2+(q-1)g_l)\hat{m}(2\eta_{2l}).$$

The lemma now follows from the fact that $2+(q-1)g_l$ cannot be zero. $\square$

We use Lemma 6.7 to show that a certain set generates $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot}$ when $\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type AII.

Theorem 6.8 *Assume that $\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is of type AII. Then $\mathcal{P}_Z(\check{U})) = \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot}$.*

Proof: By Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 5.3, we have that $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$ is a subset of $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot}$. Set $R = \mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$ and recall that R is generated by the set $\{\mathcal{P}_B(z_{2\omega_i})|1\leq i\leq n\}$. It is sufficient to show that $\hat{m}(2\eta_j)\in R$ for all $1\leq j\leq n$. We do this by induction on j. It follows from Lemma 3.5 that $\tilde{\omega}_1=\eta_1$. Hence Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.4 ensure that $\mathcal{P}_B(z_{2\omega_1})$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of $\hat{m}(2\eta_1)$. Therefore, $\hat{m}(2\eta_1)$ is in R. Now assume that $\hat{m}(2\eta_k)\in R$ for all $1\leq k<j$. Assume first that j is even and write $j=2l$. By the inductive hypothesis, $\hat{m}(2\eta_{l-i})$ and $\hat{m}(2\eta_{l+i})$ are both in R for $1\leq i<l$. Hence R contains $\hat{m}(2\eta_{l-i})\hat{m}(2\eta_{l+i})$ for $1\leq i<l$. Now R also contains $\mathcal{P}_B(z_{2\omega_{2l}})$. Thus by Lemma 6.7(i), R contains $\hat{m}(2\eta_{2l})$. The case for j odd is similar using Lemma 6.7(ii) instead of Lemma 6.7(i). $\square$

We now turn our attention to case where $\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is not of type AII. It follows from Araki's classification [A] and the list in Section 3 ((3.11)-(3.15)) that Σ is a root system of type B_t or C_t. Let $\pi_\mathfrak{r}$ denote the subset of simple roots in π which span the root system of $\mathfrak{r}$. Since the restricted root system of $\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{r}^\theta$ has rank $t-1$ and $\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{r}^\theta$ is of type AII, it follows that $\pi_\mathfrak{r}$ has $2t-1$ elements. Moreover, using the standard numbering of the simple roots in Dynkin diagrams found in [H], we have that $\pi_\mathfrak{r}=\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{2t-1}\}$. Set $W_\mathfrak{r}$ equal to the Weyl group of the root system of $\mathfrak{r}$ and note that $W_\mathfrak{r}$ is a subgroup of W.

We use below the notation introduced before Lemma 6.6 where $\Sigma_s'=\{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_{t-1}\}$. Note that $\Sigma_s\setminus\Sigma_s'=\{\mu_t\}$. Hence

$$\mathcal{N} = z^{-\mu_t}\sum_{\gamma\in Q^+(\Sigma)} z^{-\gamma}. \tag{6.9}$$

The next lemma gives technical information which relates certain restricted roots of $\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ to that of $\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{r}^\theta$.

Lemma 6.9 *Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is not of type AII. Then for all i, k, and s such that $1 \leq r \leq k \leq t-1$ and $0 \leq s \leq min(r, t-1-k)$ we have*

$$\eta_{r-s} + \eta_{k+s} - \eta'_{r-s} - \eta'_{k+s} = \eta_r + \eta_k - \eta'_r - \eta'_k. \tag{6.10}$$

Moreover, if Σ is of type C_t, then (6.10) also holds for $s = min(r, t-k)$.

Proof: Suppose that r has been chosen so that $1 \leq r \leq t-1$. We have $(\eta_r - \eta'_r, \alpha_j) = 0$ for all $\alpha_j \in \Sigma'_s$. Since Σ' is of type A_{t-1}, it follows that the coefficient of μ_{t-1} in η'_r is r/t (see [H, Section 12.2]). Hence

$$(\eta_r - \eta'_r, \alpha_t) = -r(\mu_{t-1}, \alpha_t)/t. \tag{6.11}$$

Assume first that Σ is of type B_t. It follows that $\eta_r - \eta'_r = 2r\eta_t/t$. Hence $\eta_r + \eta_k - \eta'_r - \eta'_k = 2(r+k)\eta_t/t$ for $1 \leq r \leq k \leq t-1$ with $0 \leq s \leq min(r, t-1-k)$. In particular, $\eta_r + \eta_k - \eta'_r - \eta'_k$ only depends on $r+k$. This proves the lemma when Σ is of type B_t. Now assume that Σ is of type C_t, It follows from (6.11) that $\eta_r - \eta'_r = r\eta_t/t$ for all $1 \leq r \leq t-1$. Now $\eta'_t = 0$ so $\eta_r - \eta'_r = r\eta_t/t$ is also true for $r = t$. It follows that for $1 \leq r \leq k \leq t$ with $0 \leq s \leq min(r, t-k)$, we have $\eta_r + \eta_k - \eta'_r - \eta'_k = (r+k)\eta_t/t$. Thus $\eta_r + \eta_k - \eta'_r - \eta'_k$ depends only on $r+k$ which proves the lemma. □

Recall [Jo, Lemma 7.1.17 and 7.1.25] that the ordinary Harish-Chandra map $\mathcal{P}$ defines an isomorphism from $Z(\check{U})$ onto $\mathcal{C}[\tau(2\lambda)|\lambda \in P(\pi)]^{W\cdot}$. Furthermore, the elements $\hat{\tau}(2\gamma)$ where $\gamma \in P^+(\pi)$ defined by (5.2) are contained in $\mathcal{C}[\tau(2\lambda)|\lambda \in P(\pi)]^{W\cdot}$. For each i satisfying $1 \leq i \leq n$, let z_i be the element in $Z(\check{U})$ such that $\mathcal{P}(z_i) = \hat{\tau}(2\omega_i)$. The following is an extended version of Lemma 6.4.

Lemma 6.10 *Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is not of type AII. Then for each integer i satisfying $2 \leq 2i \leq t$, there exist Laurent polynomials $g_{2s}(q)$, $0 \leq s \leq i$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_B(z_{2i}) = &\sum_{0 \leq s \leq i} g_{2s}(q)\hat{m}(2(\eta_{i-s} + \eta_{i+s})) \\ &+ \sum_{\gamma \in Q^+(\Sigma)} \mathbf{Q}(q)\tau(2(2\eta_i - \mu_t - \gamma))\end{aligned}$$

up to a nonzero scalar. Similarly, for each integer i *such that* $1 \leq 2i+1 \leq t+1$*, there exist Laurent polynomials* $g_{2s+1}(q)$*,* $0 \leq s \leq i$ *such that*

$$\mathcal{P}_B(z_{2i+1}) = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq i} g_{2s+1}(q)\hat{m}(2(\eta_{i-s} + \eta_{i+1+s})) + \sum_{\gamma \in Q^+(\Sigma)} \mathbf{Q}(q)\tau(2(\eta_i + \eta_{i+1} - \mu_t - \gamma))$$

up to a nonzero scalar. Moreover, the coefficients of the powers of q *in each* $g_j(q)$ *are nonnegative integers and* $g_j(1) = 2^j$ *for* $0 \leq j \leq 2i+1$*.*

Proof: Let ψ denote the isomorphism from $\mathcal{C}[\tau(2\gamma)|\gamma \in P(\pi)]$ to $\mathcal{C}[P(\pi)]$ defined by $\psi(\tau(2\gamma)q^{(\rho,2\gamma)}) = z^\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in P(\pi)$. Note that for each i, $\psi(\mathcal{P}(z_i)) = \sum_{\beta \in W\omega_i} z^\beta$ up to a nonzero scalar. Furthermore,

$$\sum_{\beta \in W\omega_i} z^\beta = \sum_{\beta \in W_{\mathfrak{r}}\omega_i} z^\beta + \sum_{\gamma \in W\omega_i \setminus W_{\mathfrak{r}}\omega_i} z^\gamma. \tag{6.12}$$

Suppose that $\gamma \in W\omega_i \setminus W_{\mathfrak{r}}\omega_i$. It follows that there exists a reflection $s = s_{\alpha_j}$ corresponding to a simple root α_j in $\pi \setminus \pi_{\mathfrak{r}}$ and elements $w_1 \in W_{\mathfrak{r}}$ and $w_2 \in W$ such that $\gamma = w_2 s w_1 \omega_i$. We may assume that $sw_1\omega_i \neq w_1\omega_i$ and thus $(\alpha_j, w_1\omega_i)$ is nonzero. We may further assume that there is a reduced expression for $w_2 s_j w_1$ equal to the product of the reduced expression for w_2, s, and the reduced expression for w_1 in that order. It follows that

$$\omega_i - \gamma - \alpha_j \in Q^+(\pi). \tag{6.13}$$

Now $(\alpha_j, \omega_i) = 0$ since $\alpha_i \in \pi_{\mathfrak{r}}$. Furthermore, $\omega_i - w_1\omega_i \in Q^+(\pi_{\mathfrak{r}})$ because $w_1 \in W_{\mathfrak{r}}$. Thus (α_j, α) must be nonzero for some $\alpha \in \pi_{\mathfrak{r}}$. We can write $\pi_{\mathfrak{r}} = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$ for some choice of k with $1 \leq k \leq n$. Checking the the list (3.11)-(3.15), one sees that Δ is a root system of type A_n, C_n, or D_n. Hence j must equal $k+1$ and moreover, $\tilde{\alpha}_j$ is the last simple root of Σ. In particular, $k = t-1$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_j = \mu_t$. Hence (6.13) implies that $\tilde{\omega}_i - \mu_t - \tilde{\gamma} \in Q^+(\Sigma)$. Thus (6.12) yields

$$\sum_{\beta \in W\omega_i} z^{\tilde{\beta}} \in \sum_{\beta \in W_{\mathfrak{r}}\omega_i} z^{\tilde{\beta}} + z^{\tilde{\omega}_i}\mathcal{N}.$$

The first assertion of the lemma now follows using (6.4),(6.5), and Lemma 6.4. A similar argument works for the second assertion. □

The next lemma provides additional information about the image of certain central elements under $\mathcal{P}_B$ not covered by Lemma 6.10.

Lemma 6.11 *The element $\hat{m}(2\eta_1)$ is in $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$. Furthermore, if Σ is of type B_t then $\hat{m}(2\eta_t)$ is in $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$.*

Proof: Fix i with $1 \leq i \leq t$. Suppose that η_i is a minuscule or pseudominuscule fundamental weight in $P(\Sigma)$ (see [M] or [L5, Section 7]) and that $\tilde{\omega}_i = \eta_i$. In particular, the only possible weight less than η_i is 0 (see [L5, (7.2) and (7.3)]). By the discussion before Lemma 6.4, we can write $\mathcal{P}_B(z_{2\omega_i})$ as a linear combination of the $\hat{m}(2\gamma)$ with $\gamma \in P^+(\Sigma)$ and $\gamma < \eta_i$. Hence there exist scalars a and b with a nonzero such that $\mathcal{P}(z_{2\omega_i}) = a\hat{m}(2\eta_i) + b$. It follows that $\hat{m}(2\eta_i) \in \mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$.

Since Σ is of type A_t, B_t, or C_t, it follows that η_1 is a minuscule or pseudominuscule weight in $P(\Sigma)$. Moreover, Lemma 3.5(ii) ensures that $\tilde{\omega}_1 = \eta_1$. Hence $\hat{m}(2\eta_1) \in \mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$. Now assume that Σ is of type B_t. By Lemma 3.5(ii), we have $\tilde{\omega}_n = \eta_t$. The fact that Σ is of type B_t ensures that η_t is a minuscule fundamental weight in $P(\Sigma)$. Thus $\hat{m}(2\eta_t)$ is also in $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$. □

For each $1 \leq k \leq n$, let Z_k be the image of $\mathcal{P}_B(z_k)$ in $\mathcal{C}[P(\Sigma)]$ using the isomorphism discussed before Lemma 6.5. In particular, given integers l, k such that $2 \leq 2l \leq t$ and $1 \leq 2k+1 \leq t$, we have

$$Z_{2l} \in \sum_{0 \leq s \leq l} g_{2s}(q) m(\eta_{l-s} + \eta_{l+s}) + z^{2\eta_l}\mathcal{N}$$

and

$$Z_{2l+1} \in \sum_{0 \leq s \leq l} g_{2s+1}(q) m(\eta_{k-s} + \eta_{k+1+s}) + z^{\eta_k + \eta_{k+1}}\mathcal{N}$$

where the g_j are Laurent polynomials in q satisfying $g_j(1) = 2^j$. Set

$$Z'_{2l} = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq l} g_{2s}(q) m'(\eta'_{l-s} + \eta'_{l+s})$$

and

$$Z'_{2l+1} = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq l} g_{2s+1}(q) m'(\eta'_{k-s} + \eta'_{k+1+s}).$$

Assume for the moment that $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta \cdot}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)$. Lemma 4.3 and (5.5) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\{\mathrm{tip}(u) |\ u \in \mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B)\} =& \{\mathrm{tip}(u) |\ u \in \mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))\} \\ =& \mathrm{span}\{\tau(-2\tilde{\mu}) |\ \mu \in P^+(\Sigma)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 5.4, it then follows that $\mathcal{P}_B(\check{U}^B) = \mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U})) = \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot}$. Hence the following generalization of Theorem 6.8 completes the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Theorem 6.12 *Suppose $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta$ contains a symmetric pair $\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}^\theta$ of type AII and the rank of the restricted root system associated to $\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}^\theta$ is equal to $t-1$ with $t-1 \geq 3$. Then the $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{A}]^{W_\Theta\cdot}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$.*

Proof: Let R be the image of $\mathcal{P}_B(Z(\check{U}))$ using the isomorphism described before Lemma 6.5. In particular, R contains Z_k for $1 \leq k \leq n$. It is sufficient to show that $m(\eta_i) \in R$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. By Theorem 6.8, the result is true when Σ is of type A_t. Hence we may assume that Σ is of type B_t or C_t. By Lemma 6.11, R contains $m(\eta_1)$. We use induction along the lines of the proof of Theorem 6.8 to show that all the $m(\eta_j)$ are in R.

Set $t' = t-1$ if Σ is of type B_t and $t' = t$ if Σ is of type C_t. For each $1 \leq j \leq t-1$, we have that the restriction η_j' of η_j to the root system Σ' is just the fundamental weight in $P^+(\Sigma')$ associated to the root μ_j. By (6.4) and Lemma 6.9, we have that

$$m(\eta_{i-s} + \eta_{k+s}) \in z^{\eta_i+\eta_k-\eta_i'-\eta_k'} m'(\eta_{i-s}' + \eta_{k+s}') + z^{\eta_i+\eta_k}\mathcal{N}$$

for all i, k, and s such that $1 \leq i \leq k \leq t-1$, $i+k \leq t'$, and $0 \leq s \leq i$. It follows from the definition of the Z_k and Z_k' that

$$Z_{2l} \in z^{2\eta_l - 2\eta_l'} Z_{2l}' + z^{2\eta_l}\mathcal{N}$$

for all integers l such that $2 \leq 2l \leq t$ and

$$Z_{2j+1} \in z^{\eta_j+\eta_{j+1}-\eta_j'-\eta_{j+1}'} Z_{2j+1}' + z^{\eta_j+\eta_{j+1}}\mathcal{N}$$

for all integers j such that $1 \leq 2j+1 \leq t$. By (6.5) and Lemma 6.9, we further have that

$$m(\eta_{i-s})m(\eta_{k+s}) \in z^{\eta_i+\eta_k-\eta_i'-\eta_k'} m'(\eta_{i-s}')m'(\eta_{k+s}') + z^{\eta_i+\eta_k}\mathcal{N}$$

for all i, k, and s such that $1 \leq i, k \leq t-1$, $i+k \leq t'$, and $0 \leq s \leq i$.

Assume that $m(\eta_1), \ldots, m(\eta_s)$ are in R for some $1 \leq s \leq t'-1$. Suppose that

$$s + 1 = 2l \text{ for some integer } l. \tag{6.14}$$

Arguing as in Lemma 6.7, we can write $m'(\eta'_{2l})$ as a linear combination of Z'_{2l} and the elements in the set $\{m'(\eta'_{l-j})m'(\eta'_{l+j})|0 \leq j < l\}$. Thus there is an element Y in R which is a linear combination of elements in the set $\{Z_l\} \cup \{m(\eta_{l-j})m(\eta_{l+j})|0 \leq j < l\}$ such that

$$Y \in m(\eta_{2l}) + z^{2\eta_l}\mathcal{N}.$$

Consider an element $\gamma \in P^+(\Sigma)$ such that $z^\gamma \in z^{2\eta_l}\mathcal{N}$. By (6.9), we have that $2\eta_l - \mu_t - \gamma \in Q^+(\Sigma)$.

Suppose that Σ is of type B_t. By Lemma 6.11, both $m(\eta_1)$ and $m(\eta_t)$ are in R. It follows from the list of the fundamental weights in [H, Section 13.2] that the coefficient of μ_t in $2\eta_l$ is $2l$. Hence the coefficient of μ_t in γ must be a nonnegative integer less than or equal to $2l - 1$. Recall (6.14) that $2l - 1 = s$. The table in ([H, Section 13.2]) yields that γ is a linear combination of elements in the set $\{\eta_i|\ 1 \leq i \leq s \text{ or } i = t\}$. Hence $Y - m(\eta_{2l})$ is in the subalgebra of R generated by the set $\{m(\eta_i)|\ 1 \leq i \leq s \text{ or } i = t\}$. The fact that $Y \in R$ forces $m(\eta_{2l}) \in R$. A similar argument shows that $m(\eta_{2l+1})$ is in R when $s + 1 = 2l + 1$ for some integer l. Recall that we have assumed that $s \leq t' - 1 = t - 2$. Thus by induction, R contains $m(\eta_2), \dots, m(\eta_{t-1})$ which completes the proof of the theorem for Σ of type B_t.

Now assume that Σ is of type C_t. By Lemma 6.11, R contains $m(\eta_1)$. Assume that $s + 1 = 2l$ for some integer l. It follows from [H, Section 13.2, Table 1] that the coefficient of μ_t in $2\eta_l$ is l. Hence the coefficient of μ_t in γ must be a nonnegative integer less than or equal to $l - 1$. Note that $2l = s + 1 \leq t$. Hence $l - 1 \leq (t - 2)/2$. Checking the table in [H, Section 13.2], we see that γ is a linear combination of weights in the set $\{\eta_i|\ 1 \leq i \leq t - 2\}$. Hence $Y - m(\eta_{2l})$ is in the subalgebra of R generated by the set $\{m(\eta_i)|\ 1 \leq i \leq t - 2\}$. Since $Y \in R$, we must also have $m(\eta_{2l}) \in R$. A similar argument shows that $m(\eta_l + \eta_{l+1})$ is in R for $s = 2l + 1$. Thus by induction, R contains $m(\eta_1), \dots, m(\eta_t)$. □

7 Appendix: Commonly used notation

Here is a list of notation defined in Section 1 (in the following order):
$\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{Q}$, $\mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{N}$, q, $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{R}$, $Q(\Phi)$, $Q^+(\Phi)$, $P(\Phi)$ $P^+(\Phi)$, $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$, θ, $\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ Δ, $(\ ,\ )$, $\pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, $\leq$, Σ, Θ, $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\mathrm{p}(i)$, π^*, U, $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, x_i, y_i, $t_i^{\pm 1}$, T, U^+, G^-, U^0, τ, S_β, A_+, $\check{U}$, $\check{T}$, $\check{U}^0$, π_Θ $\mathcal{M}$, T_Θ, $\tilde{\theta}$, B_i, B_θ, $\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{D}$, $U_\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathbf{H}$, $F_r(\check{U})$, ad_r ,

$\check{U}^B$, $Z(\check{U})$, z^λ, $L(\Lambda)$, $\mathcal{C}[G]$ (G a multiplicative group), $\mathcal{C}[H]$ (H an additive group).

Defined in Section 2:

$\mathcal{M}^+$	$\mathcal{M} \cap U^+$
$\mathcal{M}^-$	$\mathcal{M} \cap G^-$
ad	left adjoint action
N^+	subalgebra of U^+ generated by (ad $\mathcal{M}^+$)$\mathcal{C}[x_i \mid \alpha_i \notin \pi_\Theta\}$
N^-	subalgebra of G^- generated by (ad $\mathcal{M}^-$)$\mathcal{C}[y_i t_i \mid \alpha_i \notin \pi_\Theta]$
$S_{\beta,r}$	the sum of weight spaces $S_{\beta'}$ with $\tilde{\beta}' = \tilde{\beta}$
$T'_{\geq}$	monoid generated by t_i^2, $\alpha_i \in \pi^*$, and t_i, $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{S}$
T'	group generated by t_i for $\alpha_i \in \pi^*$
$\check{\mathcal{A}}$	$\{\tau(\tilde{\mu}) \mid \mu \in P(\pi)\}$
$\check{T}_\Theta$	$\{\tau(1/2(\mu + \Theta(\mu))) \mid \mu \in P(\pi)\}$
$\mathcal{P}_B$	see Definition 2.3
$\mathcal{A}$	$\{\tau(2\mu) \mid \mu \in P(\Sigma)\}$
G^+	algebra generated by $x_i t_i^{-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$
U^-	algebra generated by y_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$

Defined in Section 3:

ω_i	fundamental weight corresponding to α_i
ω'_i	fundamental restricted weight corresponding to $\tilde{\alpha}_i$
π_i	$\{\alpha_j \mid (\omega_j, \tilde{\alpha}_i) \neq 0\}$
$\mathfrak{g}_i$	semisimple Lie algebra with root system π_i
Σ_i	restricted roots of $\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i^\theta$
W	Weyl group of the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$
W_Θ	Weyl group of the root system Σ

Defined in Section 4:

$\mathcal{X}$	the radial component map (see Theorem 4.1)
$\mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)]\mathcal{A}$	subring of $\mathrm{End}_r\mathcal{C}[P(\Sigma)]$ generated by $\mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)]$ and $\mathcal{A}$
$\mathcal{C}(Q(\Sigma))\mathcal{A}$	localization of $\mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)]\mathcal{A}$ at $\mathcal{C}[Q(\Sigma)] \setminus \{0\}$
g_λ	zonal spherical function at λ
φ_λ	image of g_λ in $\mathcal{C}[P(2\Sigma)]$
$\mathcal{F}$	filtration on $\check{U}$ defined by (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3)
p	see (4.4)
$\mathrm{tip}(X)$	highest degree homogeneous term of X

Defined in Section 5:

$\mathcal{P}$	the Harish-Chandra map defined using (5.1)
$z_{2\mu}$	unique central element in $\tau(2\mu) + (\mathrm{ad}_r\ U_+)\tau(2\mu)$
ρ	half sum of the positive roots in Δ
$\hat{\tau}(\lambda)$	$\sum_{w\in W}\tau(w\lambda)q^{(\rho,w\lambda)}$
$a_{2\mu}$	scalar defined in Lemma 5.1
w_0	longest element of W
$w.q^{(\rho,mu)}\tau(\mu)$	$q^{(\rho,w\mu)}\tau(w\mu)$
W'	Weyl group associated to root system of π_Θ
$\hat{m}(2\tilde{\mu})$	$\sum_{\gamma\in W_\Theta\tilde{\mu}} q^{(\rho,2\gamma)}\tau(2\gamma)$
$\check{U}_{\mathbf{C}(q)}$	$\mathbf{C}(q)$ algebra generated by $x_i, y_i, 1\leq i\leq n$, and $\check{T}$
A	$\mathbf{C}[q]_{(q-1)}$
$\hat{U}$	A algebra generated by x_i, y_i, $1\leq i\leq n$, $\frac{(t-1)}{(q-1)}$, $t\in\check{T}$
$h_1,\dots,h_n$	basis for $\mathfrak{h}$
T_2	$\{\tau(2\mu)\mid\ \mu\in P(\pi)\}$

Defined in Section 6:

t	The rank of Σ
$\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{r}^\theta$	proper maximal symmetric pair in $\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ of type AII
$\mu_1,\dots,\mu_t$	simple positive roots of Σ
$\eta_1,\dots,\eta_t$	restricted fundamental weights
η_0	0
η_{t+1}	0
s_α	reflection in W corresponding to root α
$m(\lambda)$	$\sum_{\gamma\in W_\Theta\lambda} z^\gamma$
Σ'_s	a subset of $\{\mu_1,\dots,\mu_t\}$
Σ'	root system generated by Σ'_s
λ'	$\lambda'\in P(\Sigma')$ and $(\lambda-\lambda', Q(\Sigma'))=0$
W'_Θ	Weyl group of Σ'
$\mathcal{N}$	$\sum_{\mu_i\in\Sigma_s\setminus\Sigma'_s}\sum_{\gamma\in Q^+(\Sigma)}\mathbf{N}z^{-\mu_i-\gamma}$
$\hat{M}_k$	see (6.7) and (6.8)
M_k	the image of $\hat{M}_k$ in $\mathcal{C}[P(\Sigma)]$
$\pi_{\mathfrak{r}}$	the set of simple roots of the root system of $\mathfrak{r}$
$W_{\mathfrak{r}}$	the Weyl group of the root system of $\mathfrak{r}$
z_i	$z_i\in Z(\check{U})$ and $\mathcal{P}(z_i)=\hat{\tau}(2\omega_i)$

Z_k	the image of $\mathcal{P}_B(z_k)$ in $\mathcal{C}[P(\Sigma)]$
Z'_k	see the discussion before Theorem 6.12

REFERENCES

[A] S. Araki, On root systems and an infinitesimal classification of irreducible symmetric spaces, *Journal of Mathematics, Osaka City University* **13** (1962), no. 1, 1-34.

[DN] M.S. Dijkhuizen and M. Noumi, A family of quantum projective spaces and related q-hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials, *Transactions of the A.M.S.* **350** (1998), no. 8, 3269-3296.

[DS] M.S. Dikhhuizen and J.V. Stokman, Some limit transitions between BC type orthogonal polynomials interpreted on quantum complex Grassmannians, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 35* (1999), 451-500.

[H] J.E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1972).

[He] S. Helgason, Some results on invariant differential operators on symmetric spaces, *American Journal of Mathematics* **114**, No. 4, 789-811.

[HC1] Harish-Chandra, On some applications of the universal enveloping algebra of a semisimple Lie group, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **70** (1951) 28-96.

[HC2] Harish-Chandra, Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie group, I, *American Journal of Mathematics* **80** (1958) 241-310.

[Ja] N. Jacobson, *Basic Algebra I,* W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco (1974).

[JL] A. Joseph and G. Letzter, Local finiteness of the adjoint action for quantized enveloping algebras, *Journal of Algebra* **153** (1992), 289 -318.

[JL2] A. Joseph and G. Letzter, Separation of variables for quantized enveloping algebras, *American Journal of Mathematics* **116** (1994), 127-177.

[Jo] A. Joseph, *Quantum Groups and Their Primitive Ideals*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1995).

[Ke] M.S. Kébé, $\mathcal{O}$-algèbres quantiques, *C. R. Académie des Sciences, Série I, Mathématique* **322** (1996), no. 1, 1-4.

[Kn] A. W. Knapp, *Lie Groups Beyond an Introduction*, Progress in Math. **140**, Birkhäuser, Boston (1996).

[Le] J. Lepowsky, On the Harish-Chandra Homomorphism, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **208** (1975) 193-218.

[L1] G. Letzter, Symmetric pairs for quantized enveloping algebras, *Journal of Algebra* **220** (1999), no. 2, 729-767.

[L2] G. Letzter, Harish-Chandra modules for quantum symmetric pairs, *Representation Theory, An Electronic Journal of the AMS* **4** (1999) 64-96.

[L3] G. Letzter, Coideal subalgebras and quantum symmetric pairs, In: *New Directions in Hopf Algebras, MSRI publications* **43**, Cambridge University Press (2002), 117-166.

[L4] G. Letzter, Quantum symmetric pairs and their zonal spherical functions, *Transformation Groups* **8** (2003), no. 3. 261-292.

[L5] G. Letzter, Quantum zonal spherical functions and Macdonald polynomials, Advances in Mathematics, in press (corrected proof available online).

[L6] G. Letzter, Invariant differential operators for quantum symmetric spaces, II, preprint (math.QA/0406194).

[M] I.G. Macdonald, Orthogonal polynomials associated with root systems, *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire* **45** (2000/01) 40 pp.

[N] M. Noumi, Macdonald's symmetric polynomials as zonal spherical functions on some quantum homogeneous spaces, *Advances in Mathematics* **123** (1996), no. 1, 16-77.

[NDS] M. Noumi, M.S. Dijkhuizen, and T. Sugitani, Multivariable Askey-Wilson polynomials and quantum complex Grassmannians, *Fields Institute Communications* **14** (1997), 167-177.

[NS] M. Noumi and T. Sugitani, Quantum symmetric spaces and related q-orthogonal polynomials, in: *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics (ICGTMP)* (Toyonaka, Japan, 1994), World Science Publishing, River Edge, New Jersey (1995), 28-40.